130 Conceptual Design and Environmental Review

130.1 General

This stage of project development, which establishes study scoping and conceptual design, is the process whereby the improvement needs identified in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) are more thoroughly defined and improvement alternatives are developed and evaluated as appropriate. This is called Phase I of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) project development process and can be completed with either an NMDOT Scoping Report or a corridor-level planning document. Typically, a Scoping Report is completed for general maintenance projects or minor spot improvements that do not require consideration of alternatives, addition of lanes, or major changes to roadway geometry. Scoping Reports define the general design concept and footprint of a project to a level sufficient for documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The Location Study Procedures, describe the NMDOT’s process for corridor-level planning, which is typically conducted for projects involving new roadways or major changes to existing roadways that alter the alignment, add traffic lanes or auxiliary lanes greater than one-half mile in length, and/or substantially alter roadway access. The corridor-level planning effort can be accomplished with either an alignment study or a corridor study. An alignment study is prepared for less complex actions where the roadway location is already established, whereas corridor studies are prepared for more complex actions where the route location is not established or the
magnitude of improvements may result in a substantial change to an existing alignment. While the type of study may vary, the general approach for both study types is the same. Both alignment and corridor studies involve establishing the project purpose and need; identifying and developing alternatives; assessing cost, right-of-way, engineering, environmental, social, and cultural effects; and coordinating with stakeholder agencies and the public. Collectively, these steps form Phase IA and IB of the process. By the end of the alignment/corridor study, the alternative(s) considered in the environmental document (Phase IC) should be developed to a point where the footprint is established and environmental impacts can be assessed to a level sufficient for documentation under the NEPA.

The Phase I Scoping Report or alignment/corridor study establishes the design concept and scope for a proposed action and is used to obtain authorization for federal or state funding through the approval of an environmental clearance document. Subsequent project phases include preliminary design (Phase ID), final design (Phase II), and construction (Phase III). Agency coordination and public involvement are crucial elements for each phase of project development and must begin with the long-range planning process and continue through the study phase and into final design and construction. Public involvement efforts are described in more detail in Chapter 150 of this manual.

130.2 References

The following references provide additional details concerning environmental coordination.


130.2.1 NMDOT-Specific References

The NMDOT has developed the following resources that provide specific information about environmental compliance for transportation projects in New Mexico. This chapter provides a general overview of NMDOT’s conceptual design and
environmental process, but the documents identified below provide specific details.

- **NMDOT Location Study Procedures**, current edition - This guidebook has been prepared to assist transportation engineers, planners, and other practitioners in conducting alignment and corridor studies for NMDOT projects. While the guidebook provides the information needed to cover the most complex transportation projects, it emphasizes the processes appropriate for the most common project scopes. The guidebook is also intended to establish consistency in how location studies are prepared, reviewed, and processed by the NMDOT.

- **IDD-2013-01 Categorical Exclusion (CE) Checklist** - This Infrastructure Design Directive (IDD) provides the checklist that is required for NMDOT projects being cleared with a CE.

### 130.3 Definitions

The following select definitions are from federal environmental regulations and NMDOT guidance. For a full listing, refer to 23 CFR 771, 40 CFR 1508, and the NMDOT Location Study Procedures.

- **Alternatives** - Potential solutions to a transportation problem. Alternatives may consist of different alignments, lane configurations, types of access control, or transportation modes and strategies (such as transit, high occupancy vehicle lanes, systems management, or demand management).

- **Categorical Exclusion (CE)** - A category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and which have been found to have no such effect in procedures adopted by a federal agency and for which, therefore, neither an environmental assessment (EA) nor an environmental impact statement (EIS) is required. Any procedures under this section shall provide for extraordinary circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect.
• **Environmental Assessment (EA)** - A concise public document for which a federal agency is responsible that serves to:
  – Briefly provide sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an EIS or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
  – Aid an agency’s compliance with NEPA when an EIS is not necessary
  – Facilitate preparation of an EIS when it is required
  – The EA shall include brief discussions of the need for the proposal, of required alternatives, of the environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives, and a listing of agencies and persons consulted.

• **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** - A detailed written statement on a major action which significantly affects the quality of the human environment, as required by Section 102(2)(C) of NEPA. It includes a discussion of purpose and need, alternatives, environmental conditions and effects, and public involvement activities.

• **Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)** - A document by a federal agency briefly presenting the reasons why an action will not have a significant effect on the human environment and for which an EIS therefore will not be prepared. It shall include the EA or a summary of it and shall note any other environmental documents related to it. If the EA is included, the finding need not repeat any of the discussion in the assessment but may incorporate it by reference.

• **National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Process** - All measures necessary for compliance with the requirements of Section 2 and Title I of NEPA.

• **Purpose and Need** - The project purpose is a broad statement of the overall objective to be achieved by a proposed action. The project purpose should be consistent with the goals and objectives of pertinent transportation-related planning policies. Need is a more detailed explanation of the specific transportation problems that exist, or are expected to occur in the future, such as pavement condition, geometric deficiencies, traffic congestion, etc.
• **Record of Decision (ROD)** - A concise public document that records a federal agency’s decision(s) concerning a proposed action for which the agency prepared an EIS. The ROD identifies the selected alternative, presents the basis for the decision, identifies all alternatives considered, specifies the environmentally preferable alternative, and provides information on the adopted means to avoid, minimize and compensate for environmental impacts.

### 130.4 Scoping Report and Preliminary Field Review

Because Scoping Reports are normally completed for less complicated projects that do not involve an analysis of alternatives, they require a lesser level of documentation than an alignment/corridor study. The sequence of work for projects requiring a Scoping Report includes:

- Prepare draft Scoping Report
- Conduct preliminary field review
- Prepare final Scoping Report

The draft and final Scoping Reports should include a project description and a summary of key information including the project setting and existing conditions, design criteria and parameters, safety considerations, proposed improvements, and factors that could affect the project schedule. The outline for an NMDOT Scoping Report is attached to the end of this chapter and discussed below.

The project description for Scoping Reports should include general project data such as control and project number, type of work, and project location and termini, as well as a general statement of the project purpose and need, a description of the project site, survey and right-of-way requirements, the environmental documentation level of effort, and an initial cost and schedule estimate.

The existing conditions section should accurately describe the current facility including the typical roadway section, pavement condition, horizontal and vertical alignment, intersections and other access points, right-of-way width, structures, utilities,
Preliminary Field Review

A preliminary field review should be held after the draft Scoping Report is circulated for comments and before the final Scoping Report is published.

The purpose of the preliminary field review is for key discipline experts to see the project area, verify the findings of the draft Scoping Report, and identify any issues in the field that may be challenges for the project. The preliminary field review is an important part of the early project development process because it provides an opportunity for discipline leads to identify and see potential issues in the field before the final Scoping Report is issued.
The Project Development Engineer (PDE) for the project should develop the list of attendees for the preliminary field review. Invited staff members should include:

- PDE, District staff, Design Bureaus (Geotechnical, Pavement, Construction, Traffic, Drainage, Environmental, Bridge, Right-of-Way, Utilities, Survey, Planning, as applicable)
- Consultant team members (if applicable)
- FHWA (if federally funded)
- Other stakeholders (tribes, local governments)

### 130.5 Location Study Procedures

Below is a summary of the goals and objectives for the work that occurs in Phases IA and IB of the NMDOT alignment or corridor study process. For a full description of the requirements of Phases IA and IB, refer to Chapter 3 of the Location Study Procedures.

#### 130.5.1 Phase IA, Initial Evaluation of Alternatives

Phase IA is the initial evaluation of alternatives. The primary objectives of this phase are to:

- Establish the need for action
- Develop a range of potential alternatives to achieve the need
- Eliminate alternatives that are not feasible or are clearly inferior to other options

Other important elements of Phase IA are the development and implementation of a context sensitive agency coordination and public involvement program, determining the appropriate level of effort for subsequent environmental documentation, and the development of concept designs, including typical sections and line diagrams.

#### 130.5.2 Phase IB, Detailed Evaluation of Alternatives

Phase IB is the detailed evaluation of alternatives. This phase is intended to further evaluate and refine the alternatives advanced from Phase IA. This phase involves the development of additional information such as conceptual engineering plans, right-of-way requirements, costs, performance data, environmental and social effects, and other data. During Phase IB, information that is relevant
to the decision-making process is generally developed at a level of detail sufficient for an equitable comparison of each alternative and for the identification of a preferred alternative or alternatives to advance to Phase IC.

130.5.3 Combined Phase IA/IB Study
For the majority of NMDOT projects, especially those with a limited range of alternatives, Phases IA and IB are conducted as a single step. This is usually the case in studies where the improvement alternatives are limited in number and similar in design concept and scope. However, it is important that the objectives of each distinct phase are still achieved and documented when this approach is followed.

At the conclusion of Phase IB, FHWA should also be formally engaged in the study. FHWA should concur with an executive summary or checklist that covers the Phase IA/IB process and identifies alternatives to advance into Phase IC.

130.6 Phase IC, Environmental Documentation and Processing
Phase IC is the environmental documentation and processing phase. This step in the process satisfies the NEPA documentation requirements for the project. For the vast majority of projects, this phase will include the preparation of a CE; however, complex projects may require an EA or EIS. The Location Study Procedures provides additional guidance on establishing the appropriate environmental level of effort.

Regardless of the NEPA level of effort, affected and interested agencies, stakeholders, and the general public should be consulted. For a CE, this could involve letters describing the project and environmental concerns, while an EA or EIS would need to be circulated for review and comment. Commitments and changes to the project, as appropriate, which result from agency and public comments, are then presented to FHWA for final action.

For projects involving a CE, Phase IC culminates with FHWA approval of the document. Projects involving an EA are concluded with a FONSI or determination that an EIS is required, and an EIS
would result in a ROD. The approved CE, FONSI, or ROD serves as authorization by FHWA for right-of-way acquisition, final design, and construction.

130.7 Documentation

Documentation requirements vary depending on the type of project and its complexity. However, basic documentation requirements for conceptual design and environmental review include:

- Draft and Final Scoping Report and/or corridor-level planning document (alignment study or corridor study), see the Location Study Procedures for more details.
- Environmental documentation - CE, EA, or EIS and supporting studies and documentation.
Scoping Report Content

1. Project Summary/General Description
   A. Project data
      • Control number
      • Project number
      • Type of work
      • Project purpose and need
      • Location description
      • Posted route
      • Milepost
      • Project length
      • NMDOT district
      • County
      • Functional classification
      • Terrain type
      • Fiscal year (study, design, construction)
      • Program (funding category)
   B. Site description (beginning of project to end of project)
   C. Survey requirements (location and/or property survey)
   D. Right-of-way requirements (include temporary construction permits and construction maintenance easements)
   E. Environmental level of effort
   F. Estimated project development time (from scoping to letting)
   G. Estimated project construction schedule and budget

2. Existing Conditions
   A. Typical roadway section
      • Driving lanes per direction
      • Auxiliary lanes (acceleration, deceleration, and turning lanes) and medians
      • Shoulders (including rumble strips/stripes) and/or curb and gutter
      • Surfacing tapers
      • Multimodal facilities (including transit, pedestrian and bicycle facilities)
   B. Roadside slopes
   C. Geotechnical conditions
   D. Surfacing type and condition
   E. Horizontal alignment
   F. Vertical alignment
   G. Major and minor roadway intersections
   H. Right-of-way width
I. Major structures (> 20 foot span)
J. Other structures (< 20 foot span, may include fencing, retaining walls, cattle guards, concrete box culverts, safety barriers, etc.)
K. Roadway lighting
L. Traffic control and management devices (traffic signals, intelligent transportation system [ITS] equipment, special traffic signs, etc.)
M. Utilities (inventory and owners)
N. Environmental factors
O. Posted speed limit and design speed
P. Previous construction
Q. Driveway and entrances (inventory)
R. Traffic volume and fleet characteristics
S. Level of service
T. Facilities and compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
U. Railroad facilities (identify, should include railroad right-of-way, crossings, etc.)
V. Special challenges
W. Erosion control and landscaping installations
X. School crossings

3. Design Parameters
   A. Functional classification(s) and National Highway System (NHS) status
   B. Proposed posted speed limit and design speed
   C. Design year traffic volumes and fleet characteristics
   D. Driving lane width
   E. Shoulder width
   F. Surfacing taper width
   G. Stopping sight distance
   H. Roadside clear zone width
   I. Horizontal geometry
   J. Vertical geometry
   K. Intersection design parameters
   L. Driveway design parameters
   M. Route continuity
   N. ADA requirements
   O. Multimodal facilities (including transit, pedestrian and bicycle facilities)
   P. Roadway lighting requirements
4. Safety Considerations
   A. Crash history
   B. Geometrics
   C. Roadside conditions

5. Proposed Improvements
   A. Typical section (including surfacing type and thickness)
   B. Major structures
   C. Other structures
   D. Horizontal alignment
   E. Vertical alignment
   F. Intersections
   G. Turnouts
   H. Safety
   I. Drainage and erosion control
   J. Design exceptions/variances
   K. Detours and traffic control
   L. Warrants and signalization
   M. Landscaping and irrigation
   N. Pedestrian/bicycle
   O. Special issues or unique project elements

6. Factors Affecting Development Time
   A. Survey requirements
   B. Environmental requirements and certification
   C. Archaeological requirements
   D. Historic preservation requirements (include the State Historic Preservation Officer)
   E. Right-of-way considerations and certification
   F. Utility relocations and/or hookups and utility certification
   G. Outside agency involvement and coordination
   H. Railroad facilities and certification
   I. ITS facilities and certification

7. Attachments
   A. Statewide location map
   B. Vicinity map of project limits
   C. Typical sections
D. Traffic projections
E. Capacity analysis
F. Crash summary
G. Horizontal and vertical curve analysis
H. Pavement design
I. Drainage report
J. Detailed project schedule
K. Preliminary cost estimate
L. Geotechnical report
M. Environmental documentation
N. Right-of-way and utilities report/s
O. STIP information
P. Road Diet decision matrix/evaluation