

New Mexico Department of Transportation
Transit and Rail Division

**FY 2012 Statewide Transit
Application/RPO Prioritization and
Budget Award Recommendation Meeting**

April 28, 2011 – 10:00 AM

NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

AGENDA

FY 2012 Statewide Transit

Application/RPO Prioritization and Preliminary Budget Award Recommendation Meeting

April 28, 2011 - 10:00 AM

NMDOT, Transit and Rail Division, 1350 Alta Vista (SB4), 2nd floor

- I. Welcome and Introductions
- II. Overview
- III. Section 5310 - Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities
 - a. Review of 5310 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
 - b. Budget Recommendation
Questions and/or discussion
- IV. Section 5311 - Rural Public Transit
 - a. Review of 5311 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
 - b. Funding Distribution Index and Ranking
 - c. Budget Recommendation
Questions and/or discussion
- V. Section 5316 - Job Access and Reverse Commute
 - a. Review of 5316 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
 - b. Budget Recommendation
Questions and/or discussion
- VI. Section 5317 - New Freedom
 - a. Review of 5317 Budget Requests and Regional Prioritization
 - b. Budget Recommendation
Questions and/or discussion
- VII. Next Steps to finalize FY 12 budgets
- VIII. Other issues
 - a. FY 2013 Transit application process
 - b. NMSTARS.org - New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System
 - c. Rural National Transit Database
 - d. Transit and Rail Division website
 - e. Miscellaneous

**ESTIMATED FEDERAL TRANSIT GRANT BALANCES
FY12**

Grant Type	Requests for Federal Monies	Availability of Federal Monies	Federal Monies Recommended	Balance of Federal Monies
Section 5309 (Capital)	N/A	\$1,205,513.00	\$885,316.80	\$320,196.20
Section 5310 (Capital)	\$1,410,924.87	\$1,353,150.00	\$1,031,867.39	\$321,282.61
Section 5311 (Admin/Oper/Cap)	\$11,530,005.84	\$8,055,202.00	\$7,818,220.42	\$236,981.58
Section 5317 (Operating/Capital)	\$308,037.50	\$657,947.00	\$308,037.50	\$349,909.50
Section 5316 (Admin/Oper/Capital)	\$789,473.00	\$972,304.00	\$789,473.00	\$182,831.00
TOTAL	\$14,038,441.21	\$12,244,116.00	\$10,832,915.11	\$1,411,200.89

Notes: Estimated available federal funds is based on current balance and using the same apportionments received in FY11.

SECTION 5310

**SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUEST
FY 12**

1a, FY12, 5310 Capital request, 02-8-2011.xls

	SUBGRANTEES	CAPITAL Total	Federal Share	TOTAL	FEDERAL	LOCAL	CAPITAL EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION
				Local Share	Cap. to Vendor at 80%	Cap. to Subgrantee 100%	
1	Adelante Development Center, I	\$244,188.00	\$195,350.00	\$48,838.00		\$244,188.00	6- Paratransit Vans: 4 walk on with 2 wheel chair @ \$40,698.00 each (\$244,188.00)
2	ARCA	\$200,250.00	\$160,200.00	\$40,050.00		\$200,250.00	6- Braun Entervan Chrysler @ 33,375.00 each(\$200,250.00)
3	Coyote Canyon	\$100,992.00	\$80,793.00	\$20,199.00	\$80,793.00		1- Mini Van with wheelchair ramp/ 1- mini van with out wheel chair ramp and Van type transit vehicle with out wheelchair ramp @ \$33,664.00 each (\$100,922)
4	Door of Opportunity	\$79,800.00	\$63,840.00	\$15,960.00		\$79,800.00	2- Startrans Bus 14 passenger Walk on \$39,000 each (\$79,800.00). Need to have an CDL Driver to have anything over 15 passenger
5	Family and Youth, Inc.	\$80,346.00	\$64,276.80	\$16,069.20		\$80,346.00	2- mini-van type 6-8 passenger vehicles with wheelchair folding ramp with flip seat @ \$40,173.00 each (\$80,346)
6	Good Samaritan	\$97,800.93	\$78,240.74	\$19,560.19	\$78,240.74		1- Light Duty low floor bus with gasoline engine & ramp (AB), 12/2 seats, option 002 item # 001, 002 seat belt extensions AB) 001 & 002, option 004, item 3 001, 002 retractable seat belts(AB) 001 & 002, Option 009 item# 001, 002 interior microphone (AB), Option 010, item # 001, 002 w/c pouch (AB), Option 011, item #001, 002 roof hatch (AB), Option 014, Item 001,002 spare tire mounted under van (\$97,800.93)
7	Go Fors Inc.	\$64,357.40	\$51,485.92	\$12,871.48	\$51,485.92		2- Braun ADA mini Van 2 (4 Walk - on 1 w/c / ramp + Driver) @ \$32,178.70 each (\$64,357.40)
8	Jewish Family Services	\$33,598.70	\$26,878.96	\$6,719.74			1- mini van with wheelchair ramp from vendor (AB) 2010 model with options flip seat (\$995.00), and QRT Tie down (\$400.00); Option Line item 003 (item 001, 002) -1 Options seat belt extension from vendor AB at \$25.00 each (\$33,598.70).
9	Las Cumbres	\$100,721.10	\$80,576.88	\$20,144.22		\$100,721.10	3- mini van with wheelchair ramp (Eldorado NationalAmerivan PT Low floor mini van @ \$33,573.70 each (\$100,721.10).
10	Life Quest	\$35,389.00	\$28,311.20	\$7,077.80	\$28,311.20		1- Para Transit Van with wheelchair lift (\$35,389.00).
11	PB & J Family Services	\$32,650.00	\$26,120.00	\$6,530.00	\$26,120.00		1- Braun Paratransit Van 12 passenger plus driver (\$32,650.00).
12	Rio Metro Regional Transit Distr	\$216,000.00	\$172,800.00	\$43,200.00		\$172,800.00	3- 16 passenger vehicles with lift @ \$72,000 each (\$216,000)
13	Share Your Care, Inc.	\$87,232.00	\$69,785.60	\$17,446.40		\$87,232.00	2- Supreme Corporation Startrans Bus Division Candidate Series II Paratransti Dual Rear wheel buses at \$43,616 each (\$87,232.00)
14	Sierra County	\$35,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$28,000.00			1-10 passenger van (\$35,000)
15	Transitional Living	\$35,679.21	\$28,543.37	\$7,135.84	\$28,543.37		1- 14 passenger van with wheelchair lift ADA compliant (\$35,679.21)
16	Tresco Inc.	\$229,038.00	\$183,230.40	\$45,807.60	\$183,230.40		6- Braun mini vans ADA at @ \$38,173.00 each (\$229,038.00)
17	Zia Therapy Center Inc.	\$50,615.00	\$40,492.00	\$10,123.00		\$40,492.00	1- 14 passenger Eldorado Aerotech cutaway bus/ lift (\$50,615.00)
18	Zuni Entrepreneurial Enterprises	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$32,000.00	1 van with wheelchair lift and tie downs (\$40,000)
	TOTAL	\$1,763,657.34	\$1,410,924.87	\$373,732.47	\$476,724.63	\$1,037,829.10	

APPENDIX H

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2012 FOR 49 U.S.C. §5310 APPLICATIONS ONLY

§5310: Transportation for Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities

1. Planning and Coordination:

- Does Applicant coordinate services with other disabled and elderly providers?
- Do they have a plan for anticipated disabled and elderly growth in the community?
- Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

2. Regional Need and Justification:

- Does applicant serve regional market demands?
- Is there a clear and defined need for this service?

3. Maintenance, Safety, and ADA:

- Does the applicant have comprehensive maintenance plan?
- Do they have someone trained to recognize maintenance concerns?
- Are applicants drivers trained in passenger safety and sensitivity?
Wheelchair lift operations?

5310 RPO Prioritization FY - 12

Prog. Type	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
1 5310	Adelante	MRRPO	High
2 5310	ARCA	MRRPO	Med-High
3 5310	Coyote Canyon Rehab	NWRPO	Med-Low
4 5310	Door of Opportunity	SERPO	High
5 5310	Families and Youth, Inc.	SCRPO	Med-High
6 5310	GO FORS, Inc. TOO	MRRPO	Med-High
7 5310	Good Samaritan	SCRPO	Medium
8 5310	Jewish Family Services	MRRPO	Medium
9 5310	Las Cumbres	NPRPO	High
10 5310	Life Quest, Inc.	NWRPO	High
11 5310	PB&J Family Services	MRRPO	Med-High
12 5310	Rio Metro RTD	MRRPO	Med-High
13 5310	Share Your Care	MRRPO	Medium
14 5310	Sierra County Cancer Assistance	SCRPO	Med-High
15 5310	Tresco Inc.	SCRPO	Med-High
16 5310	Transitional Living Services	MRRPO	Medium
17 5310	Zia Therapy	SERPO	High
18 5310	Zuni Entrepreneurial Enterprises Inc. (ZEE)	NWRPO	High

**SECTION 5310 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION
FY 12**

			TOTAL	FEDERAL	LOCAL	
SUBGRANTEES	Capital Total	Federal Share	Local Share	Cap. to Vendor at 80%	Cap. to Subgrantee 80%	CAPITAL EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION
1 Adelante Development Center, Inc.	\$122,094.00	\$97,675.20	\$24,418.80		\$97,675.20	3- Paratransit Vans(\$122,094)
2 ARCA	\$100,500.00	\$80,400.00	\$20,100.00		\$80,400.00	3- Braun Entervan Chrysler (\$100,500)
3 Coyote Canyon	\$67,328.00	\$53,862.40	\$13,465.60	\$53,862.40		1- Mini Van and 1-Paratransit van (\$67,328.00)
4 Door of Opportunity	\$79,800.00	\$63,840.00	\$15,960.00		\$63,840.00	2- Startrans 14 passenger Bus (\$79,800.00).
5 Family and Youth, Inc.	\$80,000.00	\$64,000.00	\$16,000.00		\$64,000.00	2- mini-van type 6-8 passenger vehicles @ \$40,000 each (\$80,000)
6 Good Samaritan	\$97,800.93	\$78,240.74	\$19,560.19	\$78,240.74		1- Light Duty low floor bus (\$97,800.93)
7 Go Fors Inc.	\$67,000.00	\$53,600.00	\$13,400.00	\$53,600.00		2-Braun ADA mini Van @ \$33,500 each (\$67,000)
8 Jewish Family Services	\$33,598.70	\$26,878.96	\$6,719.74	\$26,878.96		1- mini van (\$33,598.70).
9 Las Cumbres	\$67,147.40	\$53,717.92	\$13,429.48		\$53,717.92	2- mini van (\$67,147.40)
10 Life Quest	\$35,389.00	\$28,311.20	\$7,077.80	\$28,311.20		1- Para Transit Van (\$35,389.00).
11 PB & J Family Services	\$32,650.00	\$26,120.00	\$6,530.00	\$26,120.00		1- Braun Paratransit Van (\$32,650.00)
12 Rio Metro Regional Transit District	\$144,000.00	\$115,200.00	\$28,800.00		\$115,200.00	2- 16 passenger vehicles (\$144,000)
13 Share Your Care, Inc.	\$87,232.00	\$69,785.60	\$17,446.40		\$69,785.60	2- buses (\$87,232)
14 Sierra County	\$35,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$7,000.00		\$28,000.00	1-10 passenger van (\$35,000)
15 Transitional Living	\$35,679.21	\$28,543.37	\$7,135.84	\$28,543.37		1- 14 passenger van (\$35,679.21)
16 Tresco Inc.	\$114,000.00	\$91,200.00	\$22,800.00	\$91,200.00		3- mini vans (\$114,000)
17 Zia Therapy Center Inc.	\$50,615.00	\$40,492.00	\$10,123.00		\$40,492.00	1- 14 passenger bus (\$50,615.00)
18 Zuni Entrepreneurial Enterprises Inc.	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$32,000.00	1 van (\$40,000)
TOTAL	\$1,289,834.24	\$1,031,867.39	\$257,966.85	\$386,756.67	\$645,110.72	

**SECTION 5310 RECOMMENDATION HISTORY
FY 12**

Program Applicants	City	Modified Vehicles	Lift/ramp	Mini van	Other Vehicles	Other request	Purpose	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total Current and Previous Awards	
1 Adelante	Alb	3- Paratransit Vans	ramp				replace/establish services to new area	2	1	1	4	2	2	6	2	4	6	6	3	39	
2 ARCA	Alb	3- paratransit vans	no				replacement								2	3	2	2	3	12	
3 Coyote Canyon	Gallup	1 - minivan	ramp	yes			replacement											1	1	1	
4 Door Of Opportunity		2- startrans bus	no				increase vehicle size for service											1	2	3	
5 Families & Youth, Inc.	Las Cruces	2-paratransit van	ramp				expand demand reponsive svc.							2	2	2	2	2	2	2	12
6 Good Samaritan	Alamogordo	1- Light Duty Bus	ramp			Optional safety equipment and microphone	expand service												1	1	
7 GO FORS, Inc.	Alb	2 ADA entervan	ramp				replacement increase demand responsive svc.						3		1	2	0	2	2	10	
8 Jewish Families	Alb	1- mini-van	ramp			Optional safety equipment	replacement/establish service to new area											2		2	
9 Las Cumbres	Espanola	2- mini vans	ramp				increase vehicle size for service											1		1	
10 Life Quest, Inc.	Silver City	1-paratransit van	lift				replacement		2				1		1	1	1	1		7	
11 PB & J Family Services	Alb	1- paratransit van	no				replacement								1			2		3	
12 Rio Metro RTD	Alb	2- vans	lift				expand service												2	2	
13 Share Your Care	Alb	2- paratransit buses	no				replacement										3	3	2	8	
14 Sierra County	Truth or Consequences	1-van	no				establish service to new area												1	1	
15 Transitional Living	Alb	1-van	lift				establish service to new area												1	1	
16 Tresco, Inc.	Las Cruces	3- mini-vans	no	yes			replacement	1		1		1		2	2	2	2	6	3	20	
17 Zia Therapy Center, Inc.	Alamogordo	1- Eldorado 13 passenger bus	lift				add wheelchair capacity to existing vehicle /improve passenger access to svc										1	1	1	1	4
18 Zuni	Zuni	1- van	lift				replacement								1	1	1	1	1	5	
TOTAL		30						3	3	2	4	3	6	10	12	16	18	31	25	133	

SECTION 5311

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGET REQUESTS
FY12**

	Total Administration (w/ D+A)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Total Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Requests	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - A to O
1 Adelante	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00
CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING (ADELANTE 80/20)										
	\$24,872.64	\$19,898.11	\$4,974.53	\$24,872.64	\$12,436.32	\$12,436.32	\$49,745.28	\$32,334.43	\$17,410.85	1.00
2 Carlsbad	\$199,050.00	\$159,240.00	\$39,810.00	\$359,064.00	\$179,532.00	\$179,532.00	\$558,114.00	\$338,772.00	\$219,342.00	0.55
3 Clovis	\$318,103.00	\$254,482.40	\$63,620.60	\$556,491.00	\$278,245.50	\$278,245.50	\$874,594.00	\$532,727.90	\$341,866.10	0.57
4 Golden Spread	\$64,455.00	\$51,564.00	\$12,891.00	\$115,096.50	\$57,548.25	\$57,548.25	\$179,551.50	\$109,112.25	\$70,439.25	0.56
5 Hobbs	\$112,300.00	\$89,840.00	\$22,460.00	\$492,100.00	\$246,050.00	\$246,050.00	\$604,400.00	\$335,890.00	\$268,510.00	0.23
6 Laguna Pueblo	\$31,550.00	\$25,240.00	\$6,310.00	\$171,720.00	\$85,860.00	\$85,860.00	\$203,270.00	\$111,100.00	\$92,170.00	0.18
7 Las Vegas	\$86,190.00	\$68,952.00	\$17,238.00	\$154,400.00	\$77,200.00	\$77,200.00	\$240,590.00	\$146,152.00	\$94,438.00	0.56
8 Los Alamos Co.	\$229,533.76	\$183,627.01	\$45,906.75	\$1,613,767.02	\$806,883.51	\$806,883.51	\$1,843,300.78	\$990,510.52	\$852,790.26	0.14
9 Milan, Village of	\$101,600.00	\$81,280.00	\$20,320.00	\$129,740.00	\$64,870.00	\$64,870.00	\$231,340.00	\$146,150.00	\$85,190.00	0.78
10 Navajo	\$209,390.00	\$167,512.00	\$41,878.00	\$762,189.00	\$381,094.50	\$381,094.50	\$971,579.00	\$548,606.50	\$422,972.50	0.27
11 NCI	\$85,242.00	\$68,193.60	\$17,048.40	\$217,760.00	\$108,880.00	\$108,880.00	\$303,002.00	\$177,073.60	\$125,928.40	0.39
12 North Central RTD	\$902,730.00	\$722,184.00	\$180,546.00	\$1,057,063.00	\$528,531.50	\$528,531.50	\$1,959,793.00	\$1,250,715.50	\$709,077.50	0.85
13 Ohkay Owingeh	\$74,424.00	\$59,539.20	\$14,884.80	\$206,699.00	\$103,349.50	\$103,349.50	\$281,123.00	\$162,888.70	\$118,234.30	0.36
14 Portales	\$80,750.00	\$64,600.00	\$16,150.00	\$184,770.00	\$92,385.00	\$92,385.00	\$265,520.00	\$156,985.00	\$108,535.00	0.44
15 Red River	\$62,250.00	\$49,800.00	\$12,450.00	\$113,900.00	\$56,950.00	\$56,950.00	\$176,150.00	\$106,750.00	\$69,400.00	0.55
16 RioMetro RTD	\$385,639.00	\$308,511.20	\$77,127.80	\$1,137,584.00	\$568,792.00	\$568,792.00	\$1,523,223.00	\$877,303.20	\$645,919.80	0.34
CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING (RMRTD 80/20)										
	\$560,033.00	\$448,026.40	\$112,006.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$560,033.00	\$448,026.40	\$112,006.60	n/a
17 Roswell	\$252,100.00	\$201,680.00	\$50,420.00	\$1,052,200.00	\$526,100.00	\$526,100.00	\$1,304,300.00	\$727,780.00	\$576,520.00	0.24
18 Ruidoso Downs, City of	\$122,300.00	\$97,840.00	\$24,460.00	\$180,840.00	\$90,420.00	\$90,420.00	\$303,140.00	\$188,260.00	\$114,880.00	0.68
19 Socorro, City of	\$75,400.00	\$60,320.00	\$15,080.00	\$143,800.00	\$71,900.00	\$71,900.00	\$219,200.00	\$132,220.00	\$86,980.00	0.52
20 Southwest RTD	\$382,870.00	\$306,296.00	\$76,574.00	\$792,000.00	\$396,000.00	\$396,000.00	\$1,174,870.00	\$702,296.00	\$472,574.00	0.48
21 Taos	\$155,100.00	\$124,080.00	\$31,020.00	\$444,950.00	\$222,475.00	\$222,475.00	\$600,050.00	\$346,555.00	\$253,495.00	0.35
22 Zia	\$237,195.00	\$189,756.00	\$47,439.00	\$627,733.00	\$313,866.50	\$313,866.50	\$864,928.00	\$503,622.50	\$361,305.50	0.38
23 Zuni EE	\$67,880.00	\$54,304.00	\$13,576.00	\$184,750.00	\$92,375.00	\$92,375.00	\$252,630.00	\$146,679.00	\$105,951.00	0.37
TOTAL	\$4,820,957.40	\$3,856,765.92	\$964,191.48	\$10,723,489.16	\$5,361,744.58	\$5,361,744.58	\$15,544,446.56	\$9,218,510.50	\$6,325,936.06	0.45

**SECTION 5311 CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS
FY 2012**

	Subgrantees	CAPITAL Total	Federal Share	Local Share	Cap. to Vendor at 80%	Cap. to Subgrantee at 80%	Capital Equipment Description
1	Adelante	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a	n/a	No Capital Requested
2	Carlsbad	\$87,100.00	\$69,680.00	\$17,420.00		\$69,680.00	4- bike racks for Fixed Route vans @ 800.00 each (\$3,200), 4 fare boxes @ \$600 each (\$2,400), 5- benches for fixed route @ \$600 each (\$3,000), Cameras and GPS for Buses and Vans Surveillance system (\$9,000), 1- 15 passenger van with lift (\$54,000), 5- small bus shelter @ \$2,500 each (\$12,500), 4- replacement radios @ \$750. per set mobile radios (\$3,000)
3	Clovis	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00		\$80,000.00	to purchase scheduling software off the shelf to assist with larger volume calls & to most efficiently route buses.
4	Golden Spread	\$1,400.00	\$1,120.00	\$280.00		\$1,120.00	5- hand held radios 285.00 each (1,400.00).
5	Hobbs	\$68,000.00	\$54,400.00	\$13,600.00		\$54,400.00	1- 16 passenger bus (\$65,000) and 1- mobile radio for bus (\$3,000)
6	Laguna Pueblo	\$49,000.00	\$39,200.00	\$9,800.00		\$39,200.00	1- Bus type vehiclewith lift operations, preferable with improved Chassis for rugged non-paved roads (\$49,000).
7	Las Vegas	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	No Capital Requested
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$833,500.00	\$666,800.00	\$166,700.00		\$666,800.00	1- replacement Trolley for (\$200,000), Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) paratransit system for (\$380,000.00), 1- 26" fixed Rourte replacement bus (\$143,500.00), Benches (\$20,000) and Shelters (\$90,000).
9	Milan, Village of	\$47,522.00	\$38,017.60	\$9,504.40	\$38,017.60		1- Senator Series II Ford 12 passenger 2 wheelchair bus (\$47,522.00).
10	Navajo	\$828,000.00	\$662,400.00	\$165,600.00	\$662,400.00		2- laptops computers (\$6,000), Diagnostic scnner system for medium size fleet (\$4,000), 2- anti-freeze Recyclers (\$3,000), Service Truck (\$100,000), Inventory Software (\$5,000), Furniture for Fleet and Shop office (\$10,000.), Coach buses (\$700,000)
11	NCI	\$96,618.00	\$77,294.40	\$19,323.60	\$65,676.40	\$11,618.00	1- bus (\$85,000) and office furniture (\$11,618.00)
12	North Central RTD	\$160,000.00	\$128,000.00	\$32,000.00		\$128,000.00	2- 21 Passenger wheel chair equipped vehicle with bike rack (\$160,000)
13	Ohkay Owingeh	\$51,500.00	\$41,200.00	\$10,300.00		\$41,200.00	1- new 6 passenger mini van (\$45,000)
14	Portales	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			No Capital Requested
15	Red River	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			No Capital Requested
16	RioMetro RTD	\$161,000.00	\$128,800.00	\$32,200.00		\$128,800.00	Furniture (\$2,000), 3- laptops (\$4,000), 2- 18 passenger + 2 wheel chair bus @ 72,500 each (\$145,000).
17	Roswell	\$173,700.00	\$138,960.00	\$34,740.00		\$138,960.00	1- 25 passenger bus (\$125,000) 1- van with lift (\$45,000) and computers (\$3,700).
18	Ruidoso Downs, City of	\$41,650.48	\$33,320.38	\$8,330.10		\$33,320.38	Survillance System- PTZ 950 Camera, DVR, Monitor, Wiring, Installation(\$3,500), Building -44" x 12 " mobile Office with restrooms, delivery, block, level, and anchor (\$38,150)
19	Socorro, City of	\$54,700.00	\$43,760.00	\$10,940.00	\$43,760.00		1- Startrans Bus 12 passenger with lift and fare box (\$54,700).
20	South West RTD	\$33,178.70	\$26,542.96	\$6,635.74	\$25,742.96	\$800.00	1- radio (\$1,000) Minivan w wheelchair ramp (\$32,179).
21	Taos, Town of	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			No Capital Requested
22	Zia	\$62,500.00	\$50,000.00	\$12,500.00		\$50,000.00	5- chairs for passenger waiting area in Transit office, 4- bookcases, 1- desk, 1-small tabel and 3-chairs in drivers lounge (\$1,500), Computer with dual screen for dispatchand software to track vehicles (\$2,000), 1- 14 passenger boc bus (\$58,500), 1- mobile radio (\$500.00).
23	Zuni EE	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$32,000.00	1- Mini van with lift Entervan or equivalent (\$40,000)
	TOTAL	\$2,889,369.18	\$2,311,495.34	\$577,873.84	\$835,596.96	\$1,475,898.38	

APPENDIX I

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2012 FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY

§5311: Rural Public Transit

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

- Does the applicant have a short/long term transit plan?
- Is this plan adopted by the applicants governing body?
- Is there coordination with other transit systems and other modes of transportation, including tribal entities?
- Is ongoing funding part of the plan?
- Has a formal process been established for public input?

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

- Does a regional transit/transportation plan exist that includes this service? If not, what is the basis for the need for this service?
- Does a regional need exist to serve the demand in this area?
- How strong is the need to continue/begin this system?

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

- Does the transit system have a marketing plan?
- Has it been implemented?
- Is there a clear direction to increase ridership?
Including paratransit?

APPENDIX I

**REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2012
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5311 APPLICATIONS ONLY**

Name of Applicant: _____

§5311: Rural Public Transit

Please circle score as applicable: _____

1. Rural Public Transportation Planning and Regional Coordination:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - Public Served (ridership):

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

FY 12 5311 Applications RPO Prioritizations

Prog. Type	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
1 5311	Adelante	MRRPO	Med-High
2 5311	Carlsbad, City of	SERPO	Med-High
3 5311	Clovis, City of (administered by: Clovis Area Transit	SERPO	Med-High
4 5311	Golden Spread Coalition	NERPO	High
5 5311	Hobbs, City of	SERPO	High
6 5311	Laguna, Pueblo of	NWRPO	High
7 5311	Las Vegas, City of (administered by: Meadow City Express)	NERPO	High
8 5311	Los Alamos County	NPRPO	Med-High
9 5311	Milan, Village of (administered by: Grants, Milan, Cibola Transit Org.	NWRPO	Med-High
10 5311	Navajo Nation	NWRPO	Med-High
11 5311	NCI (Na 'Nizhoozhi Center Inc.)	NWRPO	High
12 5311	NCRTD	NPRPO	Med-High
13 5311	Ohkay Owingeh	NPRPO	High
14 5311	Portales, City of	SERPO	High
15 5311	Red River, Town of	NPRPO	Med-High
16 5311	RMRTD (Rio Metro Regional Transit District)	MRRPO	Med-High
17 5311	Roswell, City of	SERPO	Med-High
18 5311	Ruidoso Downs, City of	SERPO	Med-High
19 5311	Socorro, City of	SCRPO	Med-High
20 5311	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	Med-High

SECTION 5311 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION INDEX

Individual Performance Indicators

1. FFY 2010 Section 5311 Ridership
 2. Total Administration / Operations (A/O) Ratio based on FFY 11 Award
 3. Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FFY 2010 Ridership and FFY 2010 Expended Total A/O Budget
 4. FFY 2010 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile
 5. FFY 2012 Regional Planning Organization Prioritization
 6. Percent FFY 2010 A/O Federal Award Expended
 7. Percent of Total State Section 5311 Ridership FFY 2010 : Percent of State Total FFY 2010 Federal Section 5311 A/O Award Ratio
-
- In early March 2011, Rural Transit Providers were each sent their individual data to review and provide comments.
 - After validating the data, transit providers will be ranked in each performance indicator and the ranks will be added up to create a composite Section 5311 Transit Funding Index Rank.
 - The Section 5311 Transit Funding Index Rank will then place the transit providers into groups that reflect their performance.
 - Funding decisions will be based on available funding, and an applicant's Transit Funding Index Rank.
 - Regardless of the final Section 5311 Transit Funding Index Rank, all providers will be targeted at a maximum Admin/Ops ratio of 0.80.
 - Subgrantees with incomplete data for the index, i.e., the program started in FFY 2011, will be held at a flat budget and the 0.80 Admin/Operating ratio.

1) FY10 5311 Ridership

	Grantee	Ridership
1	Adelante	n/a
2	Carlsbad	39,838
3	Clovis	62,753
4	Golden Spread	6,664
5	Hobbs	23,172
6	Laguna	9,536
7	Las Vegas	14,616
8	Los Alamos Co.	289,471
9	Milan, Village of	17,405
10	Navajo	88,064
11	NCI	35,611
12	NCRTD	166,791
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14	Portales	23,281
15	Red River	30,889
16	Rio Metro RTD	95,039
17	Roswell	205,579
18	Ruidoso	20,012
19	Socorro, City of	13,501
20	Southwest RTD	90,849
21	Taos, Town of	65,555
22	Zia	84,529
23	Zuni	20,694
	Total	1,403,849
	Change from 2009	+ 262,541

	Grantee	RANK (highest ridership = best = 1)
1	Adelante	n/a
2	Carlsbad	10
3	Clovis	9
4	Golden Spread	21
5	Hobbs	14
6	Laguna	20
7	Las Vegas	18
8	Los Alamos Co.	1
9	Milan, Village of	17
10	Navajo	6
11	NCI	11
12	NCRTD	3
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14	Portales	13
15	Red River	12
16	Rio Metro RTD	4
17	Roswell	2
18	Ruidoso	16
19	Socorro, City of	19
20	Southwest RTD	5
21	Taos, Town of	8
22	Zia	7
23	Zuni	15

2) Administration/Operating (A/O) Ratio Based on FY11 Award

	Grantee	Admin (F+L)	Net Op. (F+L)	Adm./ Op. Ratio
1	Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	Carlsbad	\$196,903.20	\$254,414.00	0.77
3	Clovis	\$296,431.01	\$485,400.00	0.61
4	Golden Spread	\$60,613.70	\$105,742.00	0.57
5	Hobbs	\$74,026.14	\$404,611.20	0.18
6	Laguna Pueblo	\$28,356.30	\$138,861.00	0.20
7	Las Vegas	\$86,041.08	\$148,410.00	0.58
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$166,673.72	\$1,152,690.74	0.14
9	Milan, Village of	\$96,033.00	\$120,054.00	0.80
10	Navajo	\$123,937.95	\$512,436.42	0.24
11	NCI	\$73,670.00	\$240,863.00	0.31
12	NCRTD	\$687,500.00	\$887,866.00	0.77
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a
14	Portales	\$86,877.00	\$156,805.00	0.55
15	Red River	\$58,760.00	\$92,150.00	0.64
16	Rio Metro RTD	\$369,307.19	\$1,053,615.80	0.35
17	Roswell	\$222,156.00	\$984,200.00	0.23
18	Ruidoso Downs,	\$99,738.53	\$133,349.04	0.75
19	Socorro, City of	\$40,415.46	\$120,564.80	0.34
20	SWRTD	\$264,819.80	\$668,640.00	0.40
21	Taos, Town of	\$136,972.08	\$385,318.00	0.36
22	Zia	\$207,603.00	\$576,051.84	0.36
23	Zuni EE	\$68,910.60	\$188,622.00	0.37
	TOTAL	\$3,445,745.74	\$8,810,664.84	
		State Average	0.39	
		Change from FY 10	-0.03	

	Grantee	RANK (lowest ratio = best = 1)
1	Adelante	n/a
2	Carlsbad	19
3	Clovis	16
4	Golden Spread	14
5	Hobbs	3
6	Laguna Pueblo	4
7	Las Vegas	15
8	Los Alamos Co.	1
9	Milan, Village of	21
10	Navajo	6
11	NCI	7
12	NCRTD	19
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14	Portales	2
15	Red River	17
16	Rio Metro RTD	8
17	Roswell	5
18	Ruidoso Downs,	18
19	Socorro, City of	12
20	SWRTD	13
21	Taos, Town of	9
22	Zia	9
23	Zuni EE	11

3) Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FY10 Ridership and FY10 Expended Total A/O Budget

	A	B	B/A
Grantee	Ridership	A + O Expended (F+L)	Cost per Trip
1 Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a
2 Carlsbad	39,838	\$442,477.25	\$11.11
3 Clovis	62,753	\$694,689.07	\$11.07
4 Golden Spread	6,664	\$152,827.40	\$22.93
5 Hobbs	23,172	\$426,071.30	\$18.39
6 Laguna	9,536	\$111,612.42	\$11.70
7 Las Vegas	14,616	\$208,228.20	\$14.25
8 Los Alamos Co.	289,471	\$1,180,485.15	\$4.08
9 Milan, Village of	17,405	\$210,932.50	\$12.12
10 Navajo	88,064	\$578,099.80	\$6.56
11 NCI	35,611	\$212,713.18	\$5.97
12 NCRTD	166,793	\$1,658,152.15	\$9.94
13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a
14 Portales	23,281	\$125,661.87	\$5.40
15 Red River	30,889	\$142,342.18	\$4.61
16 Rio Metro RTD	95,039	\$1,034,117.93	\$10.88
17 Roswell	205,579	\$1,075,672.07	\$5.23
18 Ruidoso	20,012	\$216,469.43	\$10.82
19 Socorro, City of	13,501	\$151,227.30	\$11.20
20 South West RTD	90,849	\$807,734.48	\$8.89
21 Taos, Town of	65,555	\$494,194.70	\$7.54
22 Zia	84,529	\$588,495.21	\$6.96
23 Zuni	20,694	\$230,473.51	\$11.14
TOTAL	1,403,851	\$10,603,062.15	
		State Average	\$7.55
		Change from FY 09	-\$2.93

Grantee	RANK (lowest cost = best = 1)
1 Adelante	n/a
2 Carlsbad	14
3 Clovis	13
4 Golden Spead	21
5 Hobbs	20
6 Laguna	17
7 Las Vegas	19
8 Los Alamos Co.	1
9 Milan, Village of	18
10 Navajo	6
11 NCI	5
12 NCRTD	10
13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14 Portales	4
15 Red River	2
16 Rio Metro RTD	12
17 Roswell	3
18 Ruidoso	11
19 Socorro, City of	16
20 South West RTD	9
21 Taos, Town of	8
22 Zia	7
23 Zuni	15

4) FY10 5311 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile

	Subgrantee	A + O Expended (F+L)	Vehicle Miles	Cost Per Vehicle Mile
1	Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	Carlsbad	\$442,477.25	180,795	\$2.45
3	Clovis	\$694,689.07	204,343	\$3.40
4	Golden Spread	\$152,827.40	27,676	\$5.52
5	Hobbs	\$426,071.30	174,901	\$2.44
6	Laguna	\$111,612.42	105,765	\$1.06
7	Las Vegas	\$208,228.20	64,823	\$3.21
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$1,180,485.15	170,174	\$6.94
9	Milan, Village of	\$210,932.50	59,143	\$3.57
10	Navajo	\$578,099.80	347,097	\$1.67
11	NCI	\$212,713.18	73,849	\$2.88
12	NCRTD	\$1,658,152.15	622,512	\$2.66
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a
14	Portales	\$125,661.87	72,192	\$1.74
15	Red River	\$142,342.18	27,780	\$5.12
16	Rio Metro RTD	\$1,034,117.93	577,729	\$1.79
17	Roswell	\$1,075,672.07	394,907	\$2.72
18	Ruidoso	\$216,469.43	150,788	\$1.44
19	Socorro, City of	\$151,227.30	215,453	\$0.70
20	South West RTD	\$807,734.48	566,743	\$1.43
21	Taos, Town of	\$494,194.70	177,968	\$2.78
22	Zia	\$588,495.21	323,524	\$1.82
23	Zuni	\$230,473.51	157,982	\$1.46
	TOTAL	\$10,603,062.15	4,696,144	
			State Average	\$2.26
		Change from FY 09		+0.01

	Subgrantee	RANK (lowest cost = best = 1)
1	Adelante	n/a
2	Carlsbad	11
3	Clovis	17
4	Golden Spread	21
5	Hobbs	10
6	Laguna	2
7	Las Vegas	16
8	Los Alamos Co.	20
9	Milan, Village of	18
10	Navajo	6
11	NCI	15
12	NCRTD	12
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14	Portales	7
15	Red River	19
16	Rio Metro RTD	8
17	Roswell	13
18	Ruidoso	4
19	Socorro, City of	1
20	South West RTD	3
21	Taos, Town of	14
22	Zia	9
23	Zuni	5

5) FY12 RPO Prioritization of FY 12 Applications

	Applicant	Prioritization	Score
1	Adelante	MH	2
2	Carlsbad	MH	2
3	Clovis	MH	2
4	Golden Spread	H	1
5	Hobbs	MH	2
6	Laguna Pueblo	H	1
7	Las Vegas	H	1
8	Los Alamos Co.	MH	2
9	Milan, Village of	H	1
10	Navajo	H	1
11	NCI	H	1
12	NCRTD	H	1
13	Ohkay Owingeh	H	1
14	Portales	MH	2
15	Red River	MH	2
16	Rio Metro RTD	H	1
17	Roswell	MH	2
18	Ruidoso Downs	H	1
19	Socorro, City of	H	1
20	South West RTD	H	1
21	Taos, Town of	H	1
22	Zia	H	1
23	Zuni EE	H	1

Pts. Rank

1 H=High

2 MH=Medium High

3 M=Medium

4 ML= Medium Low

5 L=Low

6) Percent FY10 A/O BUDGET Expended

5311		BUDGET	EXPENDED	
	Grantee	Total A+O Budget (F+L)	A + O Expended (F+L)	% Spent
1	Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	Carlsbad	\$484,395.55	\$442,477.25	91.3%
3	Clovis	\$768,518.64	\$694,689.07	90.4%
4	Golden Spread	\$167,480.20	\$152,827.40	91.3%
5	Hobbs	\$469,975.98	\$469,975.98	100.0%
6	Laguna	\$156,669.12	\$111,612.42	71.2%
7	Las Vegas	\$229,854.00	\$208,228.20	90.6%
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$1,183,515.68	\$1,180,485.15	99.7%
9	Milan, Village of	\$211,850.00	\$210,932.50	99.6%
10	Navajo	\$591,400.76	\$578,099.80	97.8%
11	NCI	\$286,770.00	\$212,713.18	74.2%
12	NCRTD	\$1,686,649.41	\$1,658,152.15	98.3%
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a
14	Portales	\$136,630.25	\$125,661.87	92.0%
15	Red River	\$149,493.00	\$142,342.18	95.2%
16	Rio Metro RTD	\$1,431,838.28	\$1,034,117.93	72.2%
17	Roswell	\$1,211,420.00	\$1,075,672.07	88.8%
18	Ruidoso	\$228,517.22	\$216,469.43	94.7%
19	Socorro, City of	\$160,980.26	\$151,227.30	93.9%
20	South West RTD	\$849,270.00	\$807,734.48	95.1%
21	Taos, Town of	\$494,668.00	\$494,194.70	99.9%
22	Zia	\$729,224.00	\$588,495.21	80.7%
23	Zuni	\$239,660.00	\$230,473.51	96.2%
TOTAL		\$11,868,780.35	\$10,603,062.15	
State Average				89.3%
% Change from FY 09				1.3%

Grantee	RANK (highest % = best = 1)
1 Adelante	n/a
2 Carlsbad	13
3 Clovis	16
4 Golden Spread	13
5 Hobbs	1
6 Laguna	21
7 Las Vegas	15
8 Los Alamos Co.	3
9 Milan, Village of	4
10 Navajo	6
11 NCI	19
12 NCRTD	6
13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14 Portales	12
15 Red River	8
16 Rio Metro RTD	20
17 Roswell	17
18 Ruidoso	10
19 Socorro, City of	11
20 South West RTD	9
21 Taos, Town of	2
22 Zia	18
23 Zuni	7

7) Percent of Total State Sec. 5311 Ridership FY 10, Percent of State Total FY10 Fed. Sec. 5311 A/O Award Ratio

A			B			A/B		
Grantee	Ridership	% of State Total Ridership	Grantee	Total A+O Budget	A/O % of State Total	Percent Ridership: Percent Total Federal Award Ratio	Grantee	RANK (biggest ratio = best = 1)
1 Adelante	n/a	n/a	1 Adelante	n/a	n/a	1 n/a	1 Adelante	n/a
2 Carlsbad	39,838	2.8%	2 Carlsbad	\$484,395.55	4.1%	2 0.70	2 Carlsbad	15
3 Clovis	62,753	4.5%	3 Clovis	\$768,518.64	6.5%	3 0.69	3 Clovis	16
4 Golden Spread	6,664	0.5%	4 Golden Spread	\$167,480.20	1.4%	4 0.34	4 Golden Spread	21
5 Hobbs	23,172	1.7%	5 Hobbs	\$469,975.98	4.0%	5 0.42	5 Hobbs	20
6 Laguna	9,536	0.7%	6 Laguna	\$156,669.12	1.3%	6 0.51	6 Laguna	19
7 Las Vegas	14,616	1.0%	7 Las Vegas	\$229,854.00	1.9%	7 0.54	7 Las Vegas	18
8 Los Alamos Co.	289,471	20.6%	8 Los Alamos Co.	\$1,183,515.68	10.0%	8 2.07	8 Los Alamos Co.	1
9 Milan, Village of	17,405	1.2%	9 Milan, Village of	\$211,850.00	1.8%	9 0.69	9 Milan, Village of	14
10 Navajo	88,064	6.3%	10 Navajo	\$591,400.76	5.0%	10 1.26	10 Navajo	5
11 NCI	35,611	2.5%	11 NCI	\$286,770.00	2.4%	11 1.05	11 NCI	7
12 NCRITD	166,791	11.9%	12 NCRITD	\$1,686,649.41	14.2%	12 0.84	12 NCRITD	10
13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	13 n/a	13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a
14 Portales	23,281	1.7%	14 Portales	\$136,630.25	1.2%	14 1.44	14 Portales	3
15 Red River	30,889	2.2%	15 Red River	\$149,493.00	1.3%	15 1.75	15 Red River	2
16 Rio Metro RTD	95,039	6.8%	16 Rio Metro RTD	\$1,431,838.28	12.1%	16 0.56	16 Rio Metro RTD	17
17 Roswell	205,579	14.6%	17 Roswell	\$1,211,420.00	10.2%	17 1.43	17 Roswell	4
18 Ruidoso	20,012	1.4%	18 Ruidoso	\$228,517.22	1.9%	18 0.74	18 Ruidoso	11
19 Socorro, City of	13,501	1.0%	19 Socorro, City of	\$160,980.26	1.4%	19 0.71	19 Socorro, City of	13
20 South West RTD	90,849	6.5%	20 South West RTD	\$849,270.00	7.2%	20 0.90	20 South West RTD	9
21 Taos, Town of	65,555	4.7%	21 Taos, Town of	\$494,668.00	4.2%	21 1.12	21 Taos, Town of	6
22 Zia	84,529	6.0%	22 Zia	\$729,224.00	6.1%	22 0.98	22 Zia	8
23 Zuni	20,694	1.5%	23 Zuni	\$239,660.00	2.0%	23 0.73	23 Zuni	13
TOTAL	1,403,849	100.0%	TOTAL	\$11,868,780.35	100.0%	1.00		

5311 Funding Distribution Index - - FY12

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7								
	2010 Ridership	Admin./Op. (A/O) Ratio Based on FY11 Award	Cost Per Passenger Trip Based on FY10 Ridership and FY10 Expended Total A/O Budget	FY10 Total A/O Cost per Vehicle Mile	FY12 RPO Prioritization	Percent FY10 A/O Federal Award Expended	Percent of Total State Section 5311 Ridership FY10: Percent of State Total FY10 Federal Section 5311 A/O Award Ratio	TOTAL POINTS	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Rank	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	Average Rank
FY12 5311															
1 Adelante	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Adelante	n/a	n/a	Los Alamos Co.	1	4.1
2 Carlsbad	10	19	14	11	2	13	14	83	11.9	Carlsbad	16	11.9	Navajo	2	5.1
3 Clovis	9	16	13	17	2	16	15	88	12.6	Clovis	18	12.6	Portales	3	6.1
4 Golden Spread	21	14	21	21	1	13	21	112	16.0	Golden Spread	21	16.0	Roswell	4	6.6
5 Hobbs	14	3	20	10	2	1	20	70	10.0	Hobbs	12	10.0	Taos, Town of	5	6.9
6 Laguna Pueblo	20	4	17	2	1	21	19	84	12.0	Laguna Pueblo	17	12.0	SWRTD	6	7.0
7 Las Vegas	18	15	19	16	1	15	18	102	14.6	Las Vegas	20	14.6	Zia	7	8.4
8 Los Alamos Co.	1	1	1	20	2	3	1	29	4.1	Los Alamos Co.	1	4.1	NCRTD	8	8.7
9 Milan, Village of	17	21	18	18	1	4	15	94	13.4	Milan, Village of	19	13.4	Red River	9	8.9
10 Navajo	6	6	6	6	1	6	5	36	5.1	Navajo	2	5.1	NCI	10	9.3
11 NCI	11	7	5	15	1	19	7	65	9.3	NCI	10	9.3	Zuni EE	11	9.4
12 NCRTD	3	19	10	12	1	6	10	61	8.7	NCRTD	8	8.7	Hobbs	12	10.0
13 Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	0.0	RMRTD	12	10.0
14 Portales	13	2	4	7	2	12	3	43	6.1	Portales	3	6.1	Ruidoso Downs, City of	14	10.1
15 Red River	12	17	2	19	2	8	2	62	8.9	Red River	9	8.9	Socorro, City of	15	10.4
16 RMRTD	4	8	12	8	1	20	17	70	10.0	RMRTD	12	10.0	Carlsbad	16	11.9
17 Roswell	2	5	3	13	2	17	4	46	6.6	Roswell	4	6.6	Laguna Pueblo	17	12.0
18 Ruidoso Downs, City of	16	18	11	4	1	10	11	71	10.1	Ruidoso Downs, City of	14	10.1	Clovis	18	12.6
19 Socorro, City of	19	12	16	1	1	11	13	73	10.4	Socorro, City of	15	10.4	Milan, Village of	19	13.4
20 SWRTD	5	13	9	3	1	9	9	49	7.0	SWRTD	6	7.0	Las Vegas	20	14.6
21 Taos, Town of	8	9	8	14	1	2	6	48	6.9	Taos, Town of	5	6.9	Golden Spread	21	16.0
22 Zia	7	9	7	9	1	18	8	59	8.4	Zia	7	8.4	Adelante	n/a	n/a
23 Zuni EE	15	11	15	5	1	7	12	66	9.4	Zuni EE	11	9.4	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a

5311 Funding Distribution Index - - FY12

ELIGIBLE FOR FEDERAL FUND INCREASE (UNLESS REQUEST WAS LESS) * Funding Increase Not Available for FY - 12

	TOTAL POINTS	Average Rank	NAMES Based on Alphabetical Order	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	NAMES Based on Rank	RANK (lowest # = best =1) (some have same rank)	Average Rank	Federal Administration Fund Increase	Federal Operating Fund Increase	
FY12 5311										
1	Adelante	n/a	n/a	Adelante	n/a	Los Alamos Co.	1	4.1	*	*
2	Carlsbad	83	11.9	Carlsbad	16	Navajo	2	5.3	*	*
3	Clovis	88	12.6	Clovis	18	Portales	3	6.1	*	*
4	Golden Spread	113	16.1	Golden Spread	21	Roswell	4	6.6	*	*
5	Hobbs	71	10.1	Hobbs	12	Taos, Town of	5	7.0	*	*
6	Laguna Pueblo	83	11.9	Laguna Pueblo	16	SWRTD	6	7.1	*	*
7	Las Vegas	102	14.6	Las Vegas	20	Zia	7	8.6	*	*
8	Los Alamos Co.	29	4.1	Los Alamos Co.	1	NCRTD	8	8.9	*	*
9	Milan, Village of	94	13.4	Milan, Village of	19	Red River	8	8.9	*	*
10	Navajo	37	5.3	Navajo	2	NCI	10	9.3	*	*
11	NCI	65	9.3	NCI	10	Zuni EE	11	9.6	*	*
12	NCRTD	62	8.9	NCRTD	8	Hobbs	12	10.1	*	*
13	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	0.0	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	Socorro, City of	13	10.3	*	*
14	Portales	43	6.1	Portales	3	Ruidoso Downs, City of	14	10.4	*	*
15	Red River	62	8.9	Red River	8	RMRTD	15	11.1	*	*
16	RMRTD	78	11.1	RMRTD	15	Laguna Pueblo	16	11.9	*	*
17	Roswell	46	6.6	Roswell	4	Carlsbad	16	11.9	*	*
18	Ruidoso Downs, City of	73	10.4	Ruidoso Downs, City of	14	Clovis	18	12.6	*	*
19	Socorro, City of	72	10.3	Socorro, City of	13	Milan, Village of	19	13.4	*	*
20	SWRTD	50	7.1	SWRTD	6	Las Vegas	20	14.6	*	*
21	Taos, Town of	49	7.0	Taos, Town of	5	Golden Spread	21	16.1	*	*
22	Zia	60	8.6	Zia	7	Adelante	n/a	n/a	*	*
23	Zuni EE	67	9.6	Zuni EE	11	Ohkay Owingeh	n/a	n/a	*	*

**SECTION 5311 ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING BUDGETS RECOMMENDED AWARD
FY 12**

	Subgrantees	Administration (no D+A, for MOA)	Federal Share Administration	Local Share Administration	Net Operating	Federal Share Operating	Local Share Operating	Total Recommended	Total Federal	Total Local	RATIO - A to O
1	Adelante	\$3,500.00	\$2,800.00	\$700.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,500.00	\$2,800.00	\$700.00	0.00
	CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING (ADELANTE 80 / 20)	\$24,870.64	\$19,896.51	\$4,974.13	\$24,872.64	\$12,436.32	\$12,436.32	\$49,743.28	\$32,332.83	\$17,410.45	n/a
2	Carlsbad	\$196,903.20	\$157,522.56	\$39,380.64	\$254,414.00	\$127,207.00	\$127,207.00	\$451,317.20	\$284,729.56	\$166,587.64	0.77
3	Clovis	\$296,431.01	\$237,144.81	\$59,286.20	\$485,400.00	\$242,700.00	\$242,700.00	\$781,831.01	\$479,844.81	\$301,986.20	0.61
4	Golden Spread	\$60,613.70	\$48,490.96	\$12,122.74	\$105,742.00	\$52,871.00	\$52,871.00	\$166,355.70	\$101,361.96	\$64,993.74	0.57
5	Hobbs	\$74,026.13	\$59,220.91	\$14,805.23	\$404,611.20	\$202,305.60	\$202,305.60	\$478,637.33	\$261,526.51	\$217,110.83	0.18
6	Laguna Pueblo	\$28,356.30	\$22,685.04	\$5,671.26	\$138,861.00	\$69,430.50	\$69,430.50	\$167,217.30	\$92,115.54	\$75,101.76	0.20
7	Las Vegas	\$86,041.08	\$68,832.86	\$17,208.22	\$148,410.00	\$74,205.00	\$74,205.00	\$234,451.08	\$143,037.86	\$91,413.22	0.58
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$166,673.72	\$133,338.98	\$33,334.74	\$1,152,690.74	\$576,345.37	\$576,345.37	\$1,319,364.46	\$709,684.35	\$609,680.11	0.14
9	Milan, Village of	\$96,033.00	\$76,826.40	\$19,206.60	\$120,054.00	\$60,027.00	\$60,027.00	\$216,087.00	\$136,853.40	\$79,233.60	0.80
10	Navajo	\$123,937.96	\$99,150.36	\$24,787.59	\$512,436.41	\$256,218.21	\$256,218.21	\$636,374.37	\$355,368.57	\$281,005.80	0.24
11	NCI	\$86,356.00	\$69,084.80	\$17,271.20	\$240,863.00	\$120,431.50	\$120,431.50	\$327,219.00	\$189,516.30	\$137,702.70	0.36
12	North Central RTD	\$756,162.72	\$604,930.18	\$151,232.54	\$964,219.68	\$482,109.84	\$482,109.84	\$1,720,382.40	\$1,087,040.01	\$633,342.38	0.78
13	Ohkay Owingeh	\$37,212.00	\$29,769.60	\$7,442.40	\$103,349.50	\$51,674.75	\$51,674.75	\$140,561.50	\$81,444.35	\$59,117.15	0.36
14	Portales	\$48,390.00	\$38,712.00	\$9,678.00	\$93,548.00	\$46,774.00	\$46,774.00	\$141,938.00	\$85,486.00	\$56,452.00	0.55
15	Red River	\$58,760.00	\$47,008.00	\$11,752.00	\$92,150.00	\$46,075.00	\$46,075.00	\$150,910.00	\$93,083.00	\$57,827.00	0.64
16	Rio Metro RTD	\$369,307.19	\$295,445.75	\$73,861.44	\$1,053,615.80	\$526,807.90	\$526,807.90	\$1,422,922.99	\$822,253.65	\$600,669.34	0.35
	CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING (RIO METRO 80 / 20)	\$538,493.40	\$430,794.72	\$107,698.68	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$538,493.40	\$430,794.72	\$107,698.68	n/a
17	Roswell	\$222,156.00	\$177,724.80	\$44,431.20	\$984,200.00	\$492,100.00	\$492,100.00	\$1,206,356.00	\$669,824.80	\$536,531.20	0.23
18	Ruidoso Downs, City of	\$99,738.52	\$79,790.82	\$19,947.70	\$133,349.05	\$66,674.52	\$66,674.52	\$233,087.57	\$146,465.34	\$86,622.23	0.75
19	Socorro, City of	\$42,032.08	\$33,625.66	\$8,406.42	\$124,667.39	\$62,333.70	\$62,333.70	\$166,699.47	\$95,959.36	\$70,740.11	0.34
20	SouthWest RTD	\$264,819.80	\$211,855.84	\$52,963.96	\$668,640.00	\$334,320.00	\$334,320.00	\$933,459.80	\$546,175.84	\$387,283.96	0.40
21	Taos*	\$146,972.08	\$117,577.66	\$29,394.42	\$432,818.00	\$216,409.00	\$216,409.00	\$579,790.08	\$333,986.66	\$245,803.42	0.34
22	Zia	\$207,603.00	\$166,082.40	\$41,520.60	\$576,051.84	\$288,025.92	\$288,025.92	\$783,654.84	\$454,108.32	\$329,546.52	0.36
23	Zuni EE	\$67,880.00	\$54,304.00	\$13,576.00	\$184,750.00	\$92,375.00	\$92,375.00	\$252,630.00	\$146,679.00	\$105,951.00	0.37
	TOTAL	\$4,103,269.53	\$3,282,615.62	\$820,653.91	\$8,999,714.25	\$4,499,857.13	\$4,499,857.13	\$13,102,983.78	\$7,782,472.75	\$5,320,511.03	0.46

* Addition / continuation of Taos Express Route

**TOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND OPERATING AWARD
DIFFERENCE FY11 TO FY12**

	Federal and Local			Federal and Local				
	Subgrantees	Total Admin. and Op. FY11 Awarded	Total Admin and Op. FY12 Recommended	Difference	Percent (%)	Total FY12 Admin and Op. Requested	Difference from FY12 Recommended	Percent (%)
1	Adelante	\$26,764.00	\$0.00	(\$26,764.00)	-100%	\$0.00	n/a	n/a
	ADELANTE CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING *	\$23,264.00	\$49,745.28	\$26,481.28	114% *	\$49,745.28	\$0.00	0%
2	Carlsbad	\$451,317.20	\$451,317.20	\$0.00	0%	\$558,114.00	(\$106,796.80)	-19%
3	Clovis	\$781,831.01	\$781,831.01	\$0.00	0%	\$874,594.00	(\$92,762.99)	-11%
4	Golden Spread	\$166,355.70	\$166,355.70	\$0.00	0%	\$179,551.50	(\$13,195.80)	-7%
5	Hobbs	\$478,637.33	\$478,637.33	\$0.00	0%	\$604,400.00	(\$125,762.67)	-21%
6	Laguna Pueblo	\$167,217.30	\$167,217.30	\$0.00	0%	\$203,270.00	(\$36,052.70)	-18%
7	Las Vegas	\$234,451.08	\$234,451.08	\$0.00	0%	\$240,590.00	(\$6,138.92)	-3%
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$1,319,364.46	\$1,319,364.46	\$0.00	0%	\$1,843,300.78	(\$523,936.32)	-28%
9	Milan, Village of	\$216,087.00	\$216,087.00	\$0.00	0%	\$231,340.00	(\$15,253.00)	-7%
10	Navajo	\$636,374.37	\$636,374.37	\$0.00	0%	\$971,579.00	(\$335,204.63)	-35%
11	NCI	\$314,533.00	\$303,002.00	(\$11,531.00)	-4%	\$303,002.00	\$0.00	0%
12	NCRTD	\$1,720,382.40	\$1,720,382.40	\$0.00	0%	\$1,959,793.00	(\$239,410.60)	-12%
13	Ohkay Owingeh	\$0.00	\$140,561.50	\$140,561.50	n/a	\$281,123.00	(\$140,561.50)	-50%
14	Portales	\$243,682.00	\$243,682.00	\$0.00	0%	\$265,520.00	(\$21,838.00)	-8%
15	Red River	\$150,910.00	\$150,910.00	\$0.00	0%	\$176,150.00	(\$25,240.00)	-14%
16	RMRTD	\$1,422,922.99	\$1,422,922.99	\$0.00	0%	\$1,523,223.00	(\$100,300.01)	-7%
	RMRTD CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING	\$538,493.40	\$538,493.40	\$0.00	0%	\$560,033.00	(\$21,539.60)	-4%
17	Roswell	\$1,206,356.00	\$1,206,356.00	\$0.00	0%	\$1,304,300.00	(\$97,944.00)	-8%
18	Ruidoso Downs, City of	\$233,087.57	\$233,087.57	\$0.00	0%	\$303,140.00	(\$70,052.43)	-23%
19	Socorro, City of	\$166,699.47	\$166,699.47	\$0.00	0%	\$219,200.00	(\$52,500.53)	-24%
20	SWRTD	\$933,459.80	\$933,459.80	\$0.00	0%	\$1,174,870.00	(\$241,410.20)	-21%
21	Taos, Town of **	\$522,290.08	\$579,790.08	\$57,500.00	11%	\$600,050.00	(\$20,259.92)	-3%
23	Zia	\$783,654.84	\$783,654.84	\$0.00	0%	\$864,928.00	(\$81,273.16)	-9%
24	Zuni EE	\$257,532.60	\$252,630.00	(\$4,902.60)	-2%	\$252,630.00	\$0.00	0%
	TOTAL	\$12,995,667.60	\$13,177,012.78	\$181,345.18		\$15,544,446.56	(\$2,367,433.78)	

* Adelante Capital Cost of Contracting Only. These funds are shown under Admin for accounting purposes only. This reflects minimal change from FY11 to FY 12.

** Addition/ continuation of Taso Express Route

**SECTION 5311 CAPITAL BUDGET RECOMMENDATION
FY - 12**

	Subgrantees	CAPITAL Total	Federal Share	Local Share	Cap. to Vendor at 80%	Cap. to Subgrantee at 80%	Capital Equipment Description
1	Adelante		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	none
2	Carlsbad	\$33,100.00	\$26,480.00	\$6,620.00		\$26,480.00	4- bike racks for Fixed Route vans @ 800.00 each (\$3,200), 4 fare boxes @ \$600 each (\$2,400), 5- benches for fixed route @ \$600 each (\$3,000), Cameras and GPS for Buses and Vans Surveillance system (\$9,000), 5- small bus shelters@\$2,500 ea (\$12,500), 4 - replacement mobile radios @\$750.00 per set (\$3,000)
3	Clovis	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00		\$80,000.00	Dispatching and scheduling software
4	Golden Spread	\$1,400.00	\$1,120.00	\$280.00		\$1,120.00	5- hand held radios 285.00 each (\$1,400.00).
5	Hobbs	\$3,000.00	\$2,400.00	\$600.00		\$2,400.00	1- mobile radio for bus at \$3,000
6	Laguna Pueblo	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	Needs Met With State of Good Repair Grant
7	Las Vegas	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	No Capital Requested
8	Los Alamos Co.	\$490,000.00	\$278,000.00	\$212,000.00		\$278,000.00	Automatic Vehicle Locator for paratransit system at 50/50 (\$380,000.00), benches (\$20,000) shelters (\$90,000).
9	Milan, Village of	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	Needs Met With State of Good Repair Grant
10	Navajo	\$128,000.00	\$102,400.00	\$25,600.00	\$102,400.00		2- laptops computers (\$6,000), diagnostic scanner system for medium size fleet (\$4,000), 2- anti-freeze recyclers (\$3,000), service truck (\$100,000), Inventory Software System (\$5,000), furniture for fleet and shop office (\$10,000.),
11	NCI	\$11,618.00	\$9,294.40	\$2,323.60		\$9,294.40	Office furniture (\$11,618.00).
12	NCRTD	\$80,000.00	\$64,000.00	\$16,000.00		\$64,000.00	1- 21 Passenger wheel chair equipped vehicle with bike rack (\$80,000)
13	Ohkay Owingeh	\$45,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$9,000.00		\$36,000.00	1- new 6 passenger mini van (\$45,000)
14	Portales	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			No Capital Requested
15	Red River	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			No Capital Requested
16	RMRTD	\$6,000.00	\$4,800.00	\$1,200.00		\$4,800.00	Furniture (\$2,000), 3- laptops (\$4,000)
17	Roswell	\$173,700.00	\$138,960.00	\$34,740.00		\$138,960.00	1- 25 passenger bus (\$125,000) 1- van with lift (\$45,000) and computers (\$3,700).
18	Ruidoso Downs, City of	\$41,650.00	\$33,320.00	\$8,330.00		\$33,320.00	Survillance System- PTZ 950 camera, DVR, monitor, wiring, installation(\$3,500), Building -44" x 12 " mobile office with restrooms, delivery, block, level, and anchor (\$38,150)
19	Socorro, City of	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	Needs Met With State of Good Repair Grant
20	SWRTD	\$33,178.00	\$26,542.40	\$6,635.60	\$25,742.40	\$800.00	1- radio (\$1,000), 1 Minivan w wheelchair ramp (\$32,178)
21	Taos	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	No Capital Requested
23	Zia	\$62,500.00	\$50,000.00	\$12,500.00		\$50,000.00	5- chairs for passenger waiting area in Transit office, 4- bookcases, 1- desk, 1-small tabel and 3-chairs in drivers lounge (\$1,500), Compouter with dual screen for dispatchand software to track vehicles (\$2,000), 1- 14 passenge arboc bus (\$58,500), 1- mobile radio (\$500)
23	Zuni EE	\$40,000.00	\$32,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$32,000.00	1- Mini van with lift Entervan or equivalent
	TOTAL	\$1,249,146.00	\$885,316.80	\$363,829.20	\$128,142.40	\$757,174.40	

SECTION 5316

**5316 REQUESTED BUDGET
FY 12**

	Subgrantee	TOTAL	JARC OPERATING	LOCAL
1	Ben Archer	\$221,756.00	\$110,878.00	\$110,878.00
2	Carlsbad	\$71,358.40	\$35,679.20	\$35,679.20
3	Los Alamos	\$385,712.60	\$192,856.30	\$192,856.30
4	Milan	\$50,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
5	NCRTD	\$303,910.00	\$151,955.00	\$151,955.00
6	Rio Metro	\$216,609.00	\$108,304.50	\$108,304.50
	** RIO METRO CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00
7	Roswell	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	SCCOG	\$130,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$65,000.00
9	SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Zia Therapy	\$39,600.00	\$19,800.00	\$19,800.00
	TOTAL	\$1,518,946.00	\$789,473.00	\$729,473.00

** Rio Metro Capital Cost of Contracting is funded at match ratio at 80/20

APPENDIX K

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2012 FOR 49 U.S.C. §5316 APPLICATIONS ONLY

§5316: Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC)

1. Planning and Coordination:

- Is there coordination with other transit systems and other modes of transportation, including tribal entities?
- Does this service provide transit for low-income individuals or areas?
- Does this service provide transit for reverse commuting?
- Is this service coordinated with local workforce job centers?
- Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

2. Regional Need and Justification for the System:

- Does a regional transit/transportation plan exist that includes this service? If not, what is the basis for the need for this service?
- Does a regional need exist to serve the demand in this area?
- How strong is the need to continue/begin this system?

3. Level of marketing of the Transit System - (ridership) :

- Is there a clear direction to increase ridership?

**5316 RECOMMENDED BUDGET
FY 12**

	Subgrantee	TOTAL	JARC	LOCAL
1	Ben Archer	\$221,756.00	\$110,878.00	\$110,878.00
2	Carlsbad	\$71,358.40	\$35,679.20	\$35,679.20
3	Los Alamos	\$385,712.60	\$192,856.30	\$192,856.30
4	Milan	\$50,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
5	NCRTD	\$303,910.00	\$151,955.00	\$151,955.00
6	Rio Metro	\$216,609.00	\$108,304.50	\$108,304.50
	** RIO METRO CAPITAL COST OF CONTRACTING	\$100,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00
7	Roswell	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	SCCOG	\$130,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$65,000.00
9	SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Zia Therapy	\$39,600.00	\$19,800.00	\$19,800.00
	TOTAL	\$1,518,946.00	\$789,473.00	\$729,473.00

** Rio Metro Capital Cost of Contracting is funded at match ratio at 80/20

5316 RPO Prioritization - FY 12

Prog. Type	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
1	5316 Ben Archer	SCRPO	Med-High
2	5316 Carlsbad	SERPO	Med-High
3	5316 Los Alamos Co.	NPRPO	Med-High
4	5316 Milan	NRRPO	High
5	5316 NCRTD	NPRPO	Med-High
6	5316 RMRTD	MRRPO	Med-High
7	5316 Roswell	SERPO	Medium
8	5316 SCCOG	SCRPO	Med-High
9	5316 SWRTD	SWRPO	Med-High
10	5316 Zia Therapy	SERPO	Med-High

SECTION 5317

5317 BUDGET REQUEST FY 12

Operating Request 50/50

	Subgrantee	TOTAL Operating	Federal Share	Local Share
1	Carlsbad	\$33,500.00	\$16,750.00	\$16,750.00
2	Los Alamos	\$516,063.00	\$258,031.50	\$258,031.50
3	SWRTD	withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
	TOTAL	\$549,563.00	\$274,781.50	\$274,781.50

Capital Request 80/20

	Subgrantee	TOTAL Capital	Federal Share	Local Share	Capital Equipment Description
1	Carlsbad	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
2	Los Alamos	\$41,570.00	\$33,256.00	\$8,314.00	Minivan with ramp (Entervan or MV-1)
3	SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
	TOTAL	\$41,570.00	\$33,256.00	\$8,314.00	

Total Request

	Subgrantee	TOTAL	Federal Share	Local Share	Expansion/Replacement/Other
1	Carlsbad	\$33,500.00	\$16,750.00	\$16,750.00	Funds needed to continue to transport the elderly and passengers with disabilities as we currently do. Have a aide assist in driving and and transporting and boarding passengers so that the vehicle is not left unattended, enables us to take passengers beyond the door to door service of our demand response program.
2	Los Alamos	\$557,633.00	\$291,287.50	\$266,345.50	Replacement/ vin# 1GBDV13W480160867, extending weekend dial-a-ride services
3	SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
	TOTAL	\$591,133.00	\$308,037.50	\$283,095.50	

APPENDIX J

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2012 FOR 49 U.S.C. §5317 APPLICATIONS ONLY

§5317: New Freedom Initiative

1. Is this a NEW public transit service and does it go beyond ADA requirements?

2. How does the proposed §5317 service to go beyond current ADA requirements in the providers §5311 service?
 - Purchasing vehicles and supporting accessible taxi, ride-sharing, and vanpooling programs.
 - Providing paratransit services beyond minimum requirements (3/4 mile to either side of a fixed route), including for routes that run seasonally.
 - Making accessibility improvements to transit and intermodal stations not designated as key stations.
 - Supporting volunteer driver and aide programs.
 - Supporting mobility management and coordination programs among public transportation providers and other human service agencies that provide transportation.

3. Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan?

APPENDIX J

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION EVALUATION MEASURES/CRITERIA TO BE USED BY
REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS AND APPLICANTS

FISCAL YEAR 2012
FOR 49 U.S.C. §5317 APPLICATIONS ONLY

Name of Applicant: _____

§5317: New Freedom Initiative

Please circle score as applicable: _____

1. Is this a NEW public transit service and does it go beyond ADA requirements?

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

2. How does the proposed §5317 service to go beyond current ADA requirements in the providers §5311 service?

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

3. Is the project included in the RPO/MPO Public Transit Human Services Transportation Coordination Plan?

HIGH

Medium high

MEDIUM

Medium Low

LOW

5317 Applications FY - 12

	Program Type	Applicant	RPO	Prioritization
1	5317	Carlsbad	SERPO	High
2	5317	Los Alamos Co.	NPRPO	Medium
3	5317	SWRTD (Southwest Regional Transit District)	SWRPO	High

5317 BUDGET RECOMMENDATION FY 12

Operating Request 50/50

Subgrantee	TOTAL Operating	Federal Share	Local Share
1 Carlsbad	\$33,500.00	\$16,750.00	\$16,750.00
2 Los Alamos	\$516,063.00	\$258,031.50	\$258,031.50
3 SWRTD	withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	\$549,563.00	\$274,781.50	\$274,781.50

Capital Request 80/20

Subgrantee	TOTAL Capital	Federal Share	Local Share	Capital Equipment Description
1 Carlsbad	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
2 Los Alamos	\$41,570.00	\$33,256.00	\$8,314.00	Minivan with ramp (Entervan or MV-1)
3 SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
TOTAL	\$41,570.00	\$33,256.00	\$8,314.00	

Total Request

Subgrantee	TOTAL	Federal Share	Local Share	Expansion/Replacement/Other
1 Carlsbad	\$33,500.00	\$16,750.00	\$16,750.00	Funds needed to continue to transport the elderly and passengers with disabilities as we currently do. Have a aide assist in driving and transporting and boarding passengers so that the vehicle is not left unattended, enables us to take passengers beyond the door to door service of our demand response program.
2 Los Alamos	\$557,633.00	\$291,287.50	\$266,345.50	Replacement/ vin# 1GBDV13W480160867, extending weekend dial-a-ride services
3 SWRTD	Withdrawn	\$0.00	\$0.00	n/a
TOTAL	\$591,133.00	\$308,037.50	\$283,095.50	

VIII. Other issues

- a. FY 2013 Transit application process
 - Solicitation for Letters of Intent (5310, 5311, 5316, 5317) will go out in late May/early June, 2011
- b. NMSTARS.org – New Mexico State Transit Accounting and Ridership System
 - Outstanding issues
- c. Rural National Transit Database

d. Transit and Rail Division website

<http://nmshtd.state.nm.us/main.asp?secid=11201>



*** Notices

*** General Information, Presentations, and Plans

*** Transit Provider Resources

*** Transit Grant Application Resources

e. Miscellaneous