Memo

New Mexico Department of Transportation

SUBJECT: Infrastructure Design Directive

DATE: 19 February 2013

IDD-2013-01 (Categorical Exclusion Checklist)

T0: Office of Infrastructure Divisions

District Offices

Transportation Design Community

FROM: Max E. Valerio, P.E.

Chief Engineer

Office of Infrastructure Divisions

FILE REFERENCE:

PSESHARE: Design Directives

Attached is the checklist that is to be used on all NEPA environmental documents that are proposed to be Categorical Exclusion's (CE). This checklist is required on all projects that involve the use of Federal Aid Funds and include Local Government projects.

Assistance or questions pertaining to this document may be directed to Mr. Blake Roxlau, NMDOT Environment Program Manager at 505-827-5224 or by e-mail at blake.roxlau@state.nm.us.

General Office staff is to utilize the \aspen\pseshare drive to access the Directive. District and Regional Office staff can access the Directive utilizing the appropriate District drive as indicated below:

District 1	\\d1vnxesvr01\d1design
District 2	\\d2vnxesvr01\d2public\public
District 3	\\d3-blade1\district 3\ps&e_section
District 4	\\d4vnxesvr01\d4public\d4public
District 5	\\d5vnxesvr01\d5design
District 6	\\d6vnxesvr01\D6Public\nmdot_public

Furthermore, the Directive will reside in the Department's external website. The web address is:

http://www.dot.state.nm.us

Infrastructure Design Directive (IDD-2013-01) 19 February 2013

Page 2

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Kathy Bender Ernest Archuleta Max Valerio Muffet Cuddy

NORTH REGIONAL DESIGN DIVISION

Richard Pena Yolanda Roybal Ricardo Roybal/Eunice Cazares Margaret Haynes/Stephan Bach Ray Chavez Juan Rael Daniel Maes/Johnny Herrera Victor Martinez/Tobias Suazo

CENTRAL REGIONAL DESIGN DIVISION

Greg Clarke
Hooshang Tavanaiepour
Mark Fahey
Rais Rizvi
Steve Lopez
Paul Lindberg
Osvaldo Reyes-Alicea
Priscilla Benavides

SOUTH REGIONAL DESIGN DIVISION

Gabriela Contreras-Apodaca Michael Smelker/Vince Pena/ William Martinez Sherri Holliefield/Michael Hernandez Arthur Romero/Jesus Chavarria Tisha Clark/Refugio Perea

ENGINEERING SUPPORT DIVISION

Jeff Mann

BRIDGE BUREAU

Ray Trujillo Zann Jones Tim Marrs/Sherman Peterson Jeff Johnston/Jeff Vigil Thomas Cartner Gary Kinchen Robert Crossno

DRAINAGE BUREAU

Ted Barber Ellery Biathrow/Edward Fisher David X.Trujillo/Sandra Chavez Hashem Faidi

TRAFFIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT BUREAU

Afshin Jian Brad Julian

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Elias Archuleta

PROJECT PRODUCTION & SCHEDULING BUREAU

Phillip Montoya Denise Peralta

P. S. & E. BUREAU

Jeff Martinez

Daniel Bustamante/Christine Griego/Jeri Romero Norbert Baca/James Mexia/Robert Bachicha

STATE CONSTRUCTION BUREAU

David E. Trujillo Armando Armendariz Sally Reeves Isadora Fanning Rhonda Lopez Eric Ulibarri Jay Loomis

STATE MAINTENANCE BUREAU

Dennis Ortiz

ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT SECTION

Blake Roxlau William Hutchinson

ENVIRONMENTAL GEOLOGY SECTION

Audrey Moore

OFFICE OF PROPERTY ASSET MANAGEMENT

Clyde Archibeque

PLANNING DIVISION

Mike Sandoval Frank Sharpless Anne McLaughlin Titus Ispirescu

Infrastructure Design Directive (IDD-2013-01) 19 February 2013

Page 3

SURVEY & LANDS DIVISION

Mark Marrujo

ROW/UTILITIES DIVISION

Ron Noedel

DISTRICT ENGINEERS

1/Trent Doolittle 2/Ralph Meeks 3/Tammy Haas 4/Jimmy Camp 5/Miguel Gabaldon 6/Larry Maynard

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ENGINEERS

1/Filiberto Castorena/Harold Love 2Ralph Meeks/Ismael Dominguez 3/Ken Murphy 4/Steve Hemphill 5/Phil Gallegos 6/Lisa Vega

ENGINEERING SUPPORT

1/Harold Love 2/Robert Kurtz 3/Tony Abbo 4/Heather Sandoval 5/Phil Gallegos 6/Ron Romero

DISTRICT TECH SUPPORT ENGINEERS

1/Gene Paulk 2/Matthew Grush 3/Mike Vigil 4/Mohamad Assaad 5/David Quintana 6/Kirk Weber/Bryan Peters

DISTRICT TRAFFIC ENGINEERS

1/Maria Hinojos 2/Earle Smith 3/Antonio Jaramillo 4/Adam Romero 5/Ruben Garcia 6/Jeffrey Sanchez

STATE MATERIALS BUREAU

Robert McCoy Bob Meyers Parveez Anwar Bryce Simons

FHWA

Frank Lozano
Thiet Nguyen
Rodolfo Monge-Oviedo
Robert Bency
Monica Jurado
Jolena Palau
Marilyn Valdez

ACTIVE CONSULTANTS

Charlie Trujillo, AECOM Dan Kwiecinski, AMEC Pierce Runnels, ASCG, Inc. Albert Thomas, Bohannan-Huston, Inc. Ross Lujan, CH2MHILL Steven Vasquez, Chavez-Grieves Dave Maxwell, Engineers Inc. David Wilson, Gannett-Fleming West, Inc. Peter Brakenhoff, HDR Engineering Inc. Kim Kemper, Huitt Zollars, Inc. Lawrence Ortega, Lawrence Ortega Ivan Trujillo, Louis Berger Group Kent Freier, Molzen-Corbin & Associates (Albuquerque) John Montoya, Molzen-Corbin & Assoc. (Las Cruces) Joann English, North Sound Consulting, Inc. Clay Koontz, OCCAM Consulting Engineers, Inc. Hal Byrd, Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas Fernando Quiroga, Quiroga-Pfeiffer Engr.Corp. Carlos Padilla, Radian Engineering Joseph Chato, REM-Santa Fe Engineering LLC Steven Harris, Renaissance Engineering LLC Elvidio Diniz, Resource Technology Robert Smith, Smith Engineering Co. (Albuquerque) Jim Landfair, Smith Engineering Co. (Roswell) Jim Smith, Souder Miller & Associates Lester Cisneros, Tampa Bay Engineering John Andrews, The Larkin Group Mike Nielson, TransCore ITS, Inc. Peter Hinckley, URS Greiner Woodward Clyde Chris Baca, Vector Engineering

William Ventry, VE Group, LLC.

Scott Perkins, Wilson & Company

Infrastructure Design Directive (IDD-2013-01) 19 February 2013 Page 4

METROPOLITAN/REGIONAL PLANNING

Jack Lord, MRCOG Loretta Tollefson, MRRPO Joe Delmagori, FMPO Andy Hume, LCMPO Keith Wilson, SFMPO Michael Medina, EPMPO Renee Ortiz, EPCOG Lesah Sedillo, NERPO Bob Kuipers, NWRPO Tony MacRobert, SCRPO Linda Lanham, SCRPO Jay Armijo, SCRPO Mary Ann Burr, SERPO Sandy Chancey, EPRPO Traci Burnsed, SWRPO

ACONM

Mike Beck

Form No. A-1291 New 01/13

NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

Project Number:	Control	Number:	NMDO1 District:
Title of Proposed Project:			
Location (Route and Milepost):			County:
Land Ownership:			
Engineer or Project Proponent:		Environmental Section T/LG Project Manage	
Engineer Contact and Company:			
Environmental Consultant:			
Federal F	unding for	Project: No Ye	s
This document has been prepared pursu the latest Programmatic Categorical Excl project will have no significant impact on or cumulatively, provided stipulations ide available at the NMDOT Environmental S	lusion agre the quality ntified duri	ement between FHWA a of the human or natural	and NMDOT. The proposed environment, either singular
Environmental Specialist	Date	NMDOT Project Eng Project Proponent Tribal/Local Govern	
Environmental Section Manager	Date	FHWA NM Division A	Administrator Date
Project location map with aerial photo STIP page attached. Project area photos attached. Environmental Commitments included		,	ed as following page.



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:
DDO IECT DUDDOCE & NEED.		
PROJECT PURPOSE & NEED:		
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED I	DDO IFOT	
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED P	ROJECT:	
DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING FA	CILITY: Include the Functional Classification	on of the roadway and the
current infrastructure conditions.		
DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT, OBSERVED RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SURROUNDINGS:		

Version: January 2013

2



Pro	ject Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:	
1.	OTHER LAND JURISDICTION(S): Select the appropriate land management entity from the list below. The appropriate land management agency shall be contacted to address the potential impacts, alternatives and possible mitigating measures for the proposed action. The Environmental Commitments section should reflect any mutually agreed upon stipulations or mitigation measures determined through coordination with the land management entity.			
	Bureau of Land Management, I U.S. Forest Service, USFS For Bureau of Indian Affairs Tribal Entity: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Park Service Bureau of Reclamation Department of Defense New Mexico State Land Office New Mexico Department of Ga New Mexico State Parks Federal Aviation Administration Private Other:	est and Ranger District: me and Fish		
Pro	vide additional information rega	rding communication with land manageme	ent entities below.	
2.	CULTURAL RESOURCE INVE the NMDOT Environmental Se	ESTIGATIONS: Conduct cultural resource ction.	investigations as directed by	
	ICRIS records check date: ltural resource inventory conduc	ted? ☐ No ☐ Yes Concurrence date:		
	email from NMDOT Environme The proposed project would ha NMDOT Environmental Section The proposed project would ha letter.) The proposed project would ha letter.) MOA for mitigation has bee A project-specific Programn	ve no effect to cultural resources. (See att n.) ve no adverse effect to cultural resources. ve an adverse effect to cultural resources. n developed under Section 106 of NHPA. natic Agreement has been developed under	ached letter or email from (See attached concurrence (See attached concurrence er Section 106 of NHPA.	
	are present. Specify:	listed SRCP or NRHP properties, historic of mmitments section for cultural resource tre		



Pro	ject Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:	
3.	TRADITIONAL CULTURAL P in the Environmental Section.	ROPERTIES: Contact the NMDO	T Native American/Tribal Coordinator	
	with NMDOT Native American, Traditional Cultural Properties with land management agencie See attached documentation from	/Tribal Coordinator.	Tribal Coordinator.	
4.	BIOLOGICAL COMMUNITY: Environmental Section.	Conduct biological investigations a	as directed by the NMDOT	
	logical report prepared? No o, explain:	Yes Date:		
		gered, or proposed species and/or o the project area? No Ye	r critical habitat or proposed critical es	
	The proposed project would have no effect to federally listed threatened, endangered, or proposed species and/or modify critical habitat or proposed critical habitat. The proposed project may affect, is not likely to adversely affect federally threatened, endangered, or proposed species and/or adversely modify critical habitat or proposed critical habitat. The proposed project may affect, is likely to adversely affect federally threatened, endangered, or proposed species and/or adversely modify critical habitat or proposed critical habitat. (If selected, verify NEPA level of effort with NMDOT.) Consultation with regulatory agencies completed (see attached correspondence). Describe consultation process:			
	he proposed project expected to cies? No Yes If yes,	o impact state-listed species, tribal explain:	l-listed species, or other agency	
	there migratory bird concerns a	associated with the proposed proje	ect? No Yes	
	there wildlife issues associated es, explain:	d with the proposed project? N	No □ Yes	
	Are noxious weeds, as recognized by the NM Dept. of Agriculture, present? No Yes If yes, identify noxious weed species, classification (A, B, C), and explain mitigation measures:			
Are	New Mexico Rare Plants prese	ent within the project area? 🔲 No	⊃ ☐ Yes	
	evegetation of the project area on, explain: Revegetation plan deve	needed after construction is compeloped. Date:	leted? ☐ No ☐ Yes	
Add	ditional information regarding th Refer to the Environmental Co	e biological community: mmitments section for biological c	ommunity mitigation measures.	



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:
5. WATER RESOURCES: Evalua	ate impacts to water resources within and a	adjacent to the project area.
Are floodplains present within the p If yes, explain:	roject area?	
Is a designated Wild and Scenic Ri If yes, explain:	ver present within the project area? No	Yes
Is an acequia or irrigation ditch pres If yes, explain:	sent within the project area? No Ye	es
Are Outstanding National Resource No Yes If yes, explain:	e Waters or Impaired Surface Waters prese	ent within the project area?
Clean Water Act (CWA) Permitting authority under the CWA, would be	g: Determine if Waters of the United State impacted by the proposed project.	s, subject to jurisdictional
Are wetlands present within the pro Are the wetlands expected to be im If yes to either question above, exp	. – –	
	neation report prepared. Date of report:	
CWA §404 Nationwide Permit a CWA §404 Individual Permit re	CWA §404 Maintenance Exemption applies applies: Pre-construction Notification quired (If selected, verify NEPA level of efform: NMED	required? No Yes
Additional CWA permitting informat	ion:	
Are there any impacts to non-jurisd If yes, explain:	ictional waterway within the project area?	☐ No ☐ Yes
	Determine if the provisions of the NPDES Contact the NMDO	
The proposed project would disperennial stream, therefore, a	turb less than 1 acre of land, CGP does not turb less than 1 acre of land, but the project Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control F turb more than 1 acre of land, therefore a set in accordance with the CGP.	ct area is located near a Plan is being developed.
Action area map is attached. Map of identified federally-listed	d species and/or critical habitat is attached.	
	otection Criterion (for the 402 permit applice that should be avoided for BMP implement	
☐ Refer to the Environmental Cor	nmitments section for water resources miti	gation measures.

Version: January 2013

5



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:	
RIGHT-OF-WAY REQUIREMENTS: Determine if new NMDOT rights-of-way, construction maintenance easements (CME), temporary construction permits (TCP), work permits, federal land transfers, or acquisitions are necessary.			
Are any of the above types of right	-of-way required for the p	roposed project? ☐ No ☐ Yes	
If yes, complete the table below.	,		
	T =	T	
Estimated number of -	Quantity	Property Details	
Parcels affected			
Acres required for CME(s)			
Acres required for TCP(s) Acres required for work permit(s)			
Acres required for acquisition			
Acres required for federal land tran	nsfer		
Relocations (residential or busines			
If selected, verify level of effort with			
 Proposed right-of-way map attached (required). Additional right-of-way information: AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS: Determine if the proposed project would impact air quality. 			
Is a Fugitive Dust Control Permit re	equired for the proposed p	project? No Yes	
Is the proposed project area within Bernalillo County Sunland Park Anthony A non-attainment or maintenant If none of the four boxes above are	nce area not listed above.	If checked, explain:	
If one of the geographic areas above is checked, complete the section below.			
Is hotspot analysis required for the	ne proposed project? 🗌 l	No 🗌 Yes	
Describe the extent of project leverand attach pertinent corresponder		t has been conducted for the proposed project	
Refer to the Environmental Cou	mmitments section for air	quality mitigation measures.	



Pro	oject Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:	
8.		if noise levels associated with the propose and determine if local noise abatement or		
	he proposed project considered atement of Highway Traffic Nois	Type 1 as defined by NMDOT's current Dee? ☐ No ☐ Yes	esign Directive for	
Are	e receptors (existing or permitted	I) present in the project area? No Y	'es	
If n	Based on consultation with NMDOT a traffic noise analysis is required. No Yes If no, explain: If no, noise analysis is complete.			
If y	es, traffic noise analysis has bee	en completed. Date of report:		
If y If y	es, include applicable information	ise impacts from the proposed project? on in Section 17 Public Involvement. ures been determined to be reasonable ar		
	Refer to Environmental Commit	ments section for noise mitigation measure	es.	
9.	SECTION 4(f): Section 4(f) refeareas, wildlife and waterfowl re	ers to situations where transportation proje fuges, and historic sites.	cts use parks, recreation	
	Il the proposed project use a Sec cumentation with the NMDOT Er	ction 4(f) property? No Yes (If yes, nvironmental Section.)	verify the level of 4(f)	
	Programmatic Section 4(f) eval	uation has been signed and is in the projec	ot record.	
Ad	ditional Section 4(f) information:			
10.	infrastructure, verify whether th	ects that add new, or substantially modify one proposed project is compatible with urbast cross federal lands, check with the land	n policy and/or land use	
	he proposed project consistent voo, explain:	with land use plans or zoning? No `	Yes	
11.	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS All adjacent to the proposed project	NALYSIS: Determine if hazardous materia ct area.	Is are located within or	
	The EPA EnviroMapper database The EGB has determined no ac	se has been consulted and no additional in se has been consulted and additional inves dditional investigations are required. ional investigations are required. The EGB	stigations are required.	



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:		
12. SOCIOECONOMICS: Determine the proposed project.	ne potential impacts to social and economic	c resources resulting from		
	Would the proposed project result in an adverse impact to social or economic resources? No Yes (If yes, verify NEPA level of effort with NMDOT Environmental Section.)			
Are relocations or displacements necessary to build the proposed project? No Yes Would the proposed project result in a permanent change in access or access control? No Yes Is the project expected to impact neighborhood continuity and/or community cohesion? No Yes If yes to any of the questions above, explain:				
	Refer to FHWA Order 6640.23A to review ensus data may be a source to determine p			
Based on the definitions provided in adjacent to the project area? Low income African American Hispanic or Latino Asian American American Native Hawaiian and Other Page		populations located in or		
	disproportionately high and adverse effects s (If yes, verify NEPA level of effort with N			
	nine whether the project would result in ad pe's foreground and background.	verse impacts to visual		
Would the proposed project change is the proposed project located alor	e major cut/fills, bridges, or large retaining verthe vertical profile of an existing road or bing a designated Scenic Byway? No n an adverse impact to visual resources?	oridge?		
Additional visual resource informati	on:			
☐ Refer to the Environmental Cor	nmitments section for visual resource mitig	jation measures.		
15. MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORT bicyclists, or transit facilities.	ATION: Determine whether the project wor	uld impact pedestrians,		
Is there an opportunity to improve r If yes, explain:	multi-modal access with the proposed proje	ect? No Yes		
Refer to the Environmental Cor	nmitments section for pedestrian/bicyclist r	nitigation measures		



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:
	ANALYSIS: Determine if any other resourd ddressed in the previous sections.	ce issues apply to the
No other resource issues are identified and no additional coordination required. Paleontological resources (for projects on federal land only) Prime and Unique Farmland Properties protected by Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act Other resource issues:		
Additional resource analysis:		
	ermine the level of public involvement neceuld be based on the resource issues identified in second in the resource issues identified below.	
	ic lanes, substantially change the layout or transportation facilities, including access li	
Would the project have an adverse	impact on abutting property? No Ye	es
Would the project result in noise im	pacts? No Yes	
Would the project result in socioeco	onomic, visual, environmental, or other imp	acts? No Yes
If the answer to any of the question should be considered in consultation	ns above is yes, a public meeting or an oppon with NMDOT.	ortunity for a public meeting
Were scoping letters mailed for this If no, explain:	s project? No Yes	
	s been provided? Select the appropriate ite e of opportunity for a public meeting, date onses to substantive comments.	
☐ Public notice attached. Date p	ublished:	
Formal public meeting. Date: Open house. Date: Neighborhood meeting. Date: Agency coordination and/or me City Council Meeting. Date: Other:	eeting. Date:	
☐ Public meeting notes attached. ☐ Summary of public and/or ager	ncy comments attached.	
Additional public involvement inform	nation:	



Project Number:	Control Number:	NMDOT District:
18. ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITM	WENTS	
	nitments shall be included in the final constr	uction plans for the project:
3 ·		, ,

END OF CHECKLIST

Version: January 2013