

# RFP 22-11 Q&A Session

## US 60 widening Socorro Phase A/B Study State CN: 1102050

The New Mexico Department of Transportation held a Question-and-Answer Session for: **RFP 22-11: US 60 widening Socorro Phase A/B Study CN: 1102050 per the request of a consulting firm.** The questions and answers are summarized and published on the New Mexico Department of Transportation website. This documentation will become a part of the Departments procurement file.

A full description of procedures for the Q&A session can be found in the NMDOT Consultant Services Handbook.

[https://www.dot.state.nm.us/content/dam/nmdot/Procurement/Consultant\\_Handbook\\_2021.pdf](https://www.dot.state.nm.us/content/dam/nmdot/Procurement/Consultant_Handbook_2021.pdf)

Answers that were provided in the session are subject to change due to the final scope of work had not been finalized during the Q&A session. The final scope of work will be advertised with the RFP on October 1, 2021.

### Technical Description of Project:

The 1102050 project area lies on US 60 within Socorro, NM between logical termini of MP 135.5 just south of the Mantanza Bridge to MP 139 at the intersection of US 60 and S. California Street.

US 60 is a major arterial and is a two-lane facility between MP 135.5 to about 138.6 where it transitions into a four-lane roadway at the “Y” intersection at the railroad crossing. The two-lane roadway area now serves traffic to a high school, medical facility and the fairgrounds and consequently experiences a higher traffic volume that may be beyond what a two-lane facility can adequately convey.

The Study (Phase A/B) will determine the preferred roadway alternative for the project area of US 60.

**Michelle Lujan  
Grisham**  
Governor

**Michael R. Sandoval**  
Cabinet Secretary

### Commissioners

**Jennifer Sandoval**  
Commissioner, Vice-Chairman  
District 1

**Bruce Ellis**  
Commissioner  
District 2

**Hilma E. Chynoweth**  
Commissioner  
District 3

**Walter G. Adams**  
Commissioner, Chairman  
District 4

**Thomas C. Taylor**  
Commissioner  
District 5

**Charles Lundstrom**  
Commissioner, Secretary  
District 6

**Questions:**

1. There is no information on e-STIP for this project, what are the termini for this project?  
**US 60 Widening Socorro Phase A/B Study  
135.5 South of Mantanza Bridge to MP 139**
2. What are the major concerns for this corridor? In other words, why did the District feel they needed a corridor study?  
**Google earth, four lane, California Street headed west toward Mantanza, go over railroad tracks narrows to two lanes. County and City have been issuing concern of the two lane due to High School, Fair grounds and Hospital. High traffic area. Need an analysis of the traffic to determine two to four lane.**
3. Will the project follow the federal design process?  
**yes**
4. What is your ultimate goal for this corridor?  
**Phase A/B study done and have the alternative identified. No money for construction, but the district wanted to do the study to determine where to go.**
5. What is the predominant type of traffic that you see through this corridor?  
**High School, Hospital and Fair Grounds  
Updated Average Annual Daily Traffic will be in the RFP**
6. Are there accident rate concerns throughout the corridor?  
**Current accident rates will be in the RFP. Yes, there are more and more accidents around the fair grounds and the high school**
7. Is the intent to seek alternative routes, roadway geometry changes, or simple reconstruction or rehab?  
**Geometric changes maybe may be simple reconstruction or rehab. Not alternative routes.**
8. What areas have flooding concerns throughout the corridor?  
**Have not been told of any flooding concerns. There is an arroyo that is near by and it will be verified before RFP goes out. Bridge will need to be evaluated.**

- What problems do the maintenance patrols have knowledge of?  
**Maintenance no trouble with the road, other than increased traffic and accidents going up. No flooding or any other concerns.**
- Are there structures that will have to be evaluated?  
**Bridge may need to be evaluated, minor culverts no other large structures that will need to be evaluated.**